

ITEM 12

June 9, 2017

Total Compensation Study Report FINAL DRAFT

City of Sonora

KOFF & ASSOCIATES

KATIE KANEKO

President

2835 Seventh Street Berkeley, CA 94710 www.KoffAssociates.com

Kkaneko@koffassociates.com

Tel: 510.658.5633 Fax: 510.652.5633



August 18, 2017

Mr. Tim Miller
City Administrator
City of Sonora
94 North Washington Street
Sonora CA 95370

Dear Mr. Miller:

Koff & Associates is pleased to present the Total Compensation Study Draft Report to the City of Sonora. This report documents the market compensation survey methodology, findings, and recommendations for implementation.

We would like to thank you for your assistance and cooperation without which this study could not have been brought to its successful completion.

We will be glad to answer any questions or clarify any points as you are implementing the findings and recommendations. It was a pleasure working with the City of Sonora and we look forward to future opportunities to provide you with professional assistance.

Very truly yours,

Katie Kaneko President



Table of Contents

OVERVIEW	2
STUDY PROCESS	
Benchmark Classifications	2
Comparator Agencies	3
Salary and Benefits Data	4
Data Collection	6
Matching Methodology	6
Data Spreadsheets	7
MARKET COMPENSATION FINDINGS	8
Base Salary	9
Total Compensation	9
Benefits	10
INTERNAL SALARY RELATIONSHIPS	
Police and Fire Chiefs	
RECOMMENDATIONS	12
Pay Philosophy	
Proposed Salary Structure	
Proposed Salary Range Placements	
Options for Implementation	13
LISING THE MARKET DATA AS A TOOL	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Benchmark Classification	2
Table 2. Comparator Agencies	
Table 3. Market Compensation Results Summary	
Table 4. Three-Year Implementation Proposal	

APPENDICES

Appendix I: Results Summary

Appendix II: Market Compensation Findings

Appendix III: Proposed Salary Range Schedule

Appendix IV: Salary Range Placement Recommendations

Appendix V: Additional Benefits

OVERVIEW

Koff & Associates ("K&A") was retained by the City of Sonora ("City") to conduct a total compensation study for their classifications.

This report summarizes the study methodology, analytical tools, and the total compensation (salary and benefits) survey findings. The results of the total compensation study showed:

- ➤ The City's base salaries, overall, in comparison to the market median is 19.3% below the market.
- The City's total compensation, overall, in comparison to the market median is 2.6% below the market.
- ➤ The City's benefits package puts the City in a more competitive position compared to the market and, therefore, salary decisions should be based on total compensation versus base salary market results.
- K&A considers a classification falling within 5% of the median to be competitive.

STUDY PROCESS

Benchmark Classifications

The study included 32 classifications, and of those, 17 classifications were selected in order to collect salary and benefits data within the defined labor market. Classifications that we would expect to provide a sufficient sample for analysis were selected as "benchmarks" to use as the basis to build the compensation plan. Benchmark classifications are those classifications that are compared to the market, and these classifications are used as a means of anchoring the City's overall compensation plan to the market. Other classifications not surveyed will be included in the compensation plan and aligned to the benchmark classifications using internal equity principles.

Table 1. Benchmark Classification

	Classification Title
1.	Administrative Services Director/Finance Director
2.	Administrative/Finance Assistant
3.	Administrative Analyst
4.	Chief of Police
5.	City Administrator
6.	Communications Dispatcher
7.	Community Development Director
8.	Community Development Specialist

Classification Title
9. Equipment Mechanic
10. Fire Captain
11. Fire Chief
12. Fire Engineer
13. Police Officer
14. Police Sergeant
15. Public Works Specialist
16. Public Works Supervisor
17. Supervising Mechanic

Comparator Agencies

Another important step in conducting a market salary study is the determination of appropriate agencies for comparison. The City indicated that they wanted the comparator agencies recommended by the City's Associations. Other agencies were then added to the potential list of comparator agencies based on the following factors:

- 1. Organizational type and structure we typically recommend that agencies of a similar size and providing similar services to that of the City be used as comparators.
 - When it comes to technical classes, the size of an organization is not as critical, as these classes perform fairly similar work. The difference in size of an organization becomes more important when comparing classes at the management level. The scope of work and responsibility for management becomes much larger as an organization grows. Factors such as management of a large staff, consequence of error, the political nature of the job, and its visibility all grow with larger organizations. When it is difficult to find agencies that are similarly sized, it is important to get a good balance of smaller and larger agencies.
- Similarity of population, staff, and operational budgets These elements provide guidelines in relation to resources required (staff and funding) and available for the provision of services.
- Scope of services provided For the majority of classifications, it is important to select
 agencies providing similar services. Organizations providing the same services are ideal
 for comparators and most comparator agencies surveyed provide similar services to the
 City.
- 4. Labor market and geographic location With many agencies in competition for the same pool of qualified employees, the geographic labor market area, where the City may be recruiting from or losing employees to, is taken into consideration when selecting

comparator organizations. The resulting labor market data generally reflects the region's cost of living, housing costs, growth rate, and other demographic characteristics to the same extent as competing employers to the City.

The above classifications were surveyed in the City's labor market of ten (10) regional cities and the County of Tuolumne as listed below:

Table 2. Comparator Agencies

7 4 7 1		Agency
1.	City of Angels Camp	
2.	City of Auburn	
3.	City of Dos Palos	
4.	City of Escalon	
5.	City of Grass Valley	
6.	City of Jackson	
7.	City of Livingston	
8.	City of Modesto	
9.	City of Newman	
10	. City of Stockton	
11	. Tuolumne County	

Salary and Benefits Data

The last element requiring discussion prior to beginning a market survey is the specific benefit data that will be collected and analyzed. The following salary and benefits data was collected for each benchmark classification (the cost of these benefits to each agency was converted into dollar amounts and can be found in Appendix II [Benefit Detail] of this report; these amounts were added to base salaries for total compensation purposes).

1. Monthly Base Salary

The top of the salary range and/or control point. All figures are presented on a monthly basis.

2. Employee Retirement

The retirement reflects the benefits offered to the majority of the employees:

> PERS Formula: The service retirement formula.

- Enhanced Formula Cost: The baseline PERS formula is 2%@55. There is typically a cost to the employer for offering a formula with a higher benefit than the baseline formula. For each enhanced formula, the cost to the employer is based on a percentage range calculated by PERS. K&A took the midpoint of the range and multiplied the percentage by the top monthly salary to calculate the cost of the enhanced formula. The percentage value for each enhanced formula is:
 - 2.5%@55: midpoint of range = 4.95%
 - 2.7%@55: midpoint of range = 8.05%
 - 3%@60: midpoint of range = 9.80%
- Employer Paid Member Contribution: The amount of the employee's contribution to PERS that is paid by the employer (Employer Paid Member Contribution).
- ➤ Single Highest Year: The period for determining the average monthly pay rate when calculating retirement benefits. The base period is 36 highest paid consecutive months. When final compensation is based on a shorter period of time, such as 12 months' highest paid consecutive months, there is a cost to the employer. Similar to the enhanced formula, the cost to the employer is based on a percentage range calculated by PERS. K&A took the midpoint of the range (1.35%) and multiplied the percentage by the top monthly salary to calculate the cost of the final compensation.
- > Social Security: If an employer participates in Social Security, then the employer contribution of 6.2% of the base salary up to the federally-determined maximum contribution of \$657.20 per month was reported.
- Other: Any other retirement contributions made by the employer.

3. Deferred Compensation

Deferred compensation contributions provided to all employees of a classification with or without requiring the employee to make a contribution is reported.

4. Insurances

The employer paid premiums for an employee with family coverage was reported. The employer paid insurances included:

- > Cafeteria/Flexible Benefit Plan
- Medical
- Dental
- Vision
- ➤ Life and Accidental Death and Dismemberment ("AD&D") Insurances
- > Long-Term Disability Insurance
- > Short-Term Disability Insurance
- > Other

5. Leaves

Other than sick leave, which is usage-based, the number of hours off for which the employer is obligated. All hours have been translated into direct salary costs.

- Vacation: The number of paid time off (or vacation) hours available to all employees who have completed five years of employment.
- ➤ Holidays: The number of holiday hours (including floating hours) available to employees.
- Administrative: Administrative (or management) leave is normally the number of paid leave hours available to Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") Exempt and/or management to reward for extraordinary effort (in lieu of overtime). This leave category may also include personal leave which may be available to augment vacation or other time off.

6. Auto Allowance

This category includes either the provision of an auto allowance or the provision of an auto for personal use only. If a vehicle is provided to any classification for commuting and other personal use, the average monthly rate is estimated at \$450. Mileage reimbursement is not included.

7. Other

This category includes any additional other benefits not captured above available to all in the class.

All of the benefit elements are negotiated benefits provided to all employees in the classification. As such, they represent an ongoing cost for which an agency must budget. Other benefit costs, such as sick leave, tuition reimbursement, and reimbursable mileage are usage-based and cannot be quantified on an individual employee basis.

Data Collection

Data was collected during the months of February 2017 through May 2017, through comparator agency websites, conversations with human resources, accounting, and/or finance personnel, and careful review of agency documentation such as classification descriptions, memoranda of understanding, organization charts, and other documents.

Matching Methodology

K&A believes that the data collection step is the most critical for maintaining the overall credibility of any study and relied on the City's classification descriptions as the foundation for comparison.

When we research and collect data from the comparator agencies to identify possible matches for each of the benchmark classifications, there is an assumption that comparable matches may not be made that are 100% equivalent to the classifications at the City. Therefore, we do not match based upon job titles, which can often be misleading, but rather analyze class descriptions

before a comparable match is determined. (If an agency does not have classification descriptions available for review, we will follow-up with the agency to get a better understanding of the positions.)

Our methodology is to analyze each class description and the whole position by evaluating factors such as:

- Definition and typical job functions;
- Distinguishing characteristics;
- > Level within a class series (i.e., entry, experienced, journey, specialist, lead, etc.);
- Reporting relationship structure (for example, manages through lower-level staff);
- > Education and experience requirements;
- Knowledge, abilities, and skills required to perform the work;
- The scope and complexity of the work;
- Independence of action/responsibility;
- > The authority delegated to make decisions and take action;
- > The responsibility for the work of others, program administration, and for budget dollars;
- Problem solving/ingenuity;
- > Contacts with others (both inside and outside of the organization);
- Consequences of action and decisions; and
- Working conditions.

In order for a match to be included, we require that a classification's "likeness" be at approximately 70% of the matched classification.

When an appropriate match is not identified for one classification, we often use "brackets" which can be functional or represent a span in scope of responsibility. A functional bracket means that the job of one classification at the City is performed by two or more classifications at a comparator agency. A "bracket" representing a span in scope means that the comparator agency has one class that is "bigger" in scope and responsibility and one class that is "smaller," where the City's class falls in the middle.

If an appropriate match could not be found, then no match was reported as a non-comparable (N/C).

Data Spreadsheets

For each benchmark classification, there are three information pages:

- Top Monthly Base Salary Data
- > Benefit Detail (Monthly Equivalent Values)
- > Total Compensation Data

The average (mean) and median (midpoint) of the comparator agencies are reported on the top monthly salary and total compensation data spreadsheets. The % above or below that the CIty is compared to the average and median is also reported.

The mean is the sum of the comparator agencies' salaries/total compensation divided by the number of matches. The median is the midpoint of all data with 50% of data points below and 50% of data points above.

In order to calculate the mean and median, we require that there be a minimum of four (4) comparator agencies with matching classifications to the benchmark classification. The reason for requiring a minimum of four matches is so that no one classification has undue influence on the calculations. Sufficient data was collected from the comparator agencies for all of the seventeen (17) benchmark classifications.

When using survey data to make salary range recommendations and adjustments, we recommend using the median, rather than the mean, because the median is not skewed by extremely high or low salary values.

MARKET COMPENSATION FINDINGS

The following table represents a summary of the market top monthly (base) salary and total compensation (base salary plus benefits [retirement, insurance, leaves, and allowances]) findings. For each benchmark classification, the number of matches (agencies with a comparable position) and percent above or below the top monthly salary market median and total compensation market median is listed. The table is sorted by top monthly salary in descending order from the most positive percentile (above market) to the most negative (below market).

Table 3. Market Compensation Results Summary

Classification Title	Top Monthly % Above or Below	Total Compensation % Above or Below
Administrative Services Director/Finance Director	-29.5%	-14.7%
Administrative/Finance Assistant	10.1%	21.8%
Administrative Analyst	4.3%	15.2%
Chief of Police	-3.5%	-1.0%
City Administrator	-3.2%	10.0%
Communications Dispatcher	-9.8%	3.3%
Community Development Director	-36.9%	-26.1%
Community Development Specialist	-27.0%	-0.7%
Equipment Mechanic	-19.6%	0.1%
Fire Captain	-31.0%	-11.9%

Classification Title	Top Monthly % Above or Below	Total Compensation % Above or Below
Fire Chief	-26.3%	-16.8%
Fire Engineer	-19.3%	-9.0%
Police Officer	-0.3%	6.6%
Police Sergeant	-4.5%	2.9%
Public Works Specialist	6.7%	18.2%
Public Works Supervisor	-53.9%	-13.7%
Supervising Mechanic	-28.2%	-8.7%

Base Salary

Top monthly salary market results show that four (4) classifications are paid above the market median:

- One (1) classifications are paid above the market median by less than 5%;
- One (1) classification is paid above the market median by more than 5% and less than 10%; and
- One (1) is paid above the market median by more than 10% and less than 15%;

Top monthly salary market results show that thirteen (13) classifications are paid below the market median:

- Four (4) classifications are paid below the market median by less than 5%;
- One (1) is paid below the market median by more than 5% and less than 10%;
- One (1) classifications is paid below the market median by more than 10% and less than 15%;
- > Two (2) classifications are paid below the market median by more than 15% and less than 20%;
- > Seven (7) classifications are paid below the market median by more than 25%.

Generally, a classification falling within 5% of the median is considered to be competitive in the labor market for salary survey purposes because of the differences in compensation policy, actual scope of work, and position requirements. However, the City can adopt a different standard.

Total Compensation

Total compensation market results show that eight (8) classifications are paid above the market median:

- > Three (3) classifications are paid above the market median by less than 5%;
- One (1) classification is paid above the market median by more than 5% and less than 10%;
- ➤ One (1) classification is paid above the market median by more than 10% and less than 15%:
- > Two (2) classifications are paid above the market median by more than 15% and less than 20%:
- One (1) classifications is paid above the market median by more than 20% and less than 25%.

Total compensation market results show that nine (9) classifications are paid below the market median:

- > Two (2) classifications are paid below the market median by less than 5%;
- > Two (2) classification are paid below the market median by more than 5% and less than 10%:
- ➤ Three (3) classifications are paid below the market median by more than 10% and less than 15%;
- > Two (2) classifications are paid below the market median by more than 15% and less than 30%.

Overall, the differences between market base salaries and total compensation indicate that the City's benefits package puts the City at a more competitive advantage. Further analysis indicates that, on average, classifications are 16% below the market median for base salaries, while that figure changes to -1.7% below the market median for total compensation, which is a 17.7% difference (i.e., the City "gains" a 17.7% competitive advantage when taking benefits into consideration).

Benefits

Further analysis of the market benefit data reveals the differences that give the City a competitive advantage over the comparator agencies. These consist of the enhanced PERS formula, the benefit plans and the holidays offered:

Retirement

- ➤ The Benefit Formula offered by the City for "classic" non-safety member employees is 2.7%@55. One (1) comparator agency offered an equivalent benefit formula; four (4) comparator agencies offered 2%@55; two (2) comparator agencies offered 2.5%@55; one (1) comparator agency offered 2%@60; and one (1) comparator agency offered 3%@60.
- > Seven (7) comparators participate in social security.
- ➤ The enhanced formula cost to the pension plan indicates that the City offers a retirement benefit that is higher than the majority of the comparators.

Insurances

The total cost of all insurance benefits provided by the City range from 11% to 45% higher than those provided by the comparator agencies.

Holidays

The City offers 15 holidays including floating holidays with the comparators offering an average of 13.5 days.

INTERNAL SALARY RELATIONSHIPS

Building from the salary levels established for identified benchmark classes, internal salary relationships were developed and consistently applied in order to develop specific salary recommendations for all non-benchmarked classifications.

In the future, the City may need to utilize internal alignment practices if the number of staff grows and additional classifications are added or classifications change. While analyzing internal relationships, the same factors analyzed when comparing the City's classifications to the labor market are used when making internal salary alignment recommendations.

In addition, the following are standard human resources practices that are commonly applied when making salary recommendations based upon internal relationships:

- A salary within 5% of the market average or median is considered to be competitive in the labor market for salary survey purposes because of the differences in compensation policy and actual scope of the position and its requirements. However, the City can adopt a closer standard.
- Certain internal percentages are often applied. Those that are the most common are:
 - The differential between a trainee and experienced (or journey) class in a series (I/II or Trainee/Experienced) is generally 10% to 15%;
 - A lead or advanced journey-level (III or Senior-level) class is generally placed 10% to 15% above the journey-level.
 - A full supervisory class is normally placed at least 10% to 25% above the highest level supervised, depending upon the breadth and scope of supervision.
- When a market or internal equity adjustment is granted to one class in a series, the other classes in the series are also adjusted accordingly to maintain internal equity.

Internal equity between certain levels of classifications is a fundamental factor to be considered when making salary decisions. When conducting a market compensation survey, results can often show that certain classifications that are aligned with each other are not the same in the outside labor market. However, as an organization, careful consideration should be given to these alignments because they represent internal value of classifications within job families, as well as across the organization.

For the purposes of this study, K&A utilized market data to develop the salary recommendations for all of the benchmarked classifications, and used internal equity principles to make the salary recommendations for fifteen (15) classifications that were not benchmarked. For the non-benchmarked classifications, internal alignments with other classifications will need to be considered, either in the same class series or those classifications that have similar scope of work,

level of responsibility, and "worth" to the City. Where it is difficult to ascertain internal relationships due to unique qualifications and responsibilities, reliance can be placed on past internal relationships. It is important for City management to carefully review these internal relationships and determine if they are still appropriate given the current market data.

It is also important to analyze market data and internal relationships within class series as well as across the organization, and make adjustments to salary range placements, as necessary, based on the needs of the organization.

The City may want to make internal equity adjustments or alignments, as it implements the compensation strategy. This market survey is only a tool to be used by the City to determine market indexing and salary determination.

Police and Fire Chiefs

The City provides Certification pay to these classifications specifically 12.5% to the Police Chief and 10% to the Fire Chief. Since in our experience, most agencies include certification requirements within the classification, and do not pay an additional differential, we included the certification pay within the base pay salary for Sonora and those few agencies that paid a separate certification differential so that we could provide a better comparison for the City to use in decision making. The comparator agencies that were adjusted are indicated in Appendix V, Additional Benefits.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Pay Philosophy

The City has many options regarding what type of compensation plan it wants to implement. This decision will be based on what the City's pay philosophy is, at which level it desires to pay its employees compared to the market, whether it is going to consider additional alternative compensation programs, and how great the competition is with other agencies over recruitment of a highly-qualified workforce.

Proposed Salary Structure

Currently, the City has five (5) salary structures with ranges that are approximately 2.5% apart from one another. Each salary range has a six (6) steps with 5% between each step. It is recommended that the City maintain a similar salary structure. Appendix III contains the current salary range structure.

It is important to note that the salary range structure connects all salary ranges, and their steps, by formula, thereby allowing for COLAs to be applied to only one-dollar figure in the table/matrix, which then automatically updates the entire table. Due to the formula that connects each range to the next (with 2.5% differentials between each range), there is a compounding effect when drawing relationships that span several ranges. For example, with 2.5% differentials between ranges, four ranges should represent a 10% differential. However, because the compounding effect of 2.5%, on top of 2.5%, on top of 2.5%, and so on, the differential between Range 1 and Range 5 is not exactly 10%, but it is slightly greater.

Proposed Salary Range Placements

Appendix IV illustrates the proposed salary range placement for each classification based on the market data as well as the internal relationship analysis. The recommendations are based on total compensation market results. The following calculation was used:

- 1. Multiplied the Client's current top monthly salary by the percentage difference between the Client's total compensation and the total compensation median to calculate the Market Placement Salary.
- 2. The classification was then placed within the proposed salary range with a Step 5 salary closest to the Market Placement Salary.

K&A also modified the current internal alignment in certain instances where it seemed warranted based on market-supported groupings and/or compaction issues.

For all classifications, this primary implementation procedure must be completed only at the initial time of implementation. In the future, if the City decides to implement annual across-the-board cost of living adjustment increases, only the salary schedule that was developed and included herein needs to be increased by the appropriate percentage, and each individual salary range will move up with this adjustment. This will ensure that the internal salary relationships are preserved and the salary schedule remains structured and easily administered.

Options for Implementation

While the City may be interested in bringing all salaries to the market median, in most cases this goal may not be reached with a single adjustment. In this case, one option is to use a phased implementation approach. Normally, if the compensation implementation program must be carried over months or years, the classes that are farthest from the market median should receive the greatest equity increase (separate from any cost of living increase). If a class falls within 5% of the market median, it would be logical to make no equity adjustment in the first round of changes. However, if a class is more than 5% (or in this case, more than 20%) below the market median, a higher percentage change may be initially warranted to reduce the disparity.

For example, if the city decided to implement the recommendations over a three-year period, then the following guidelines could be applied for the initial increase of the three-year implementation plan:

Table 4. Three-Year Implementation Proposal

Market Disparity	% Increase
0 to 4.99%	0 to 2.49%
5.0% to 9.99%	2.5% to 4.99%
10.0% to 14.99%	5.0% to 7.49%
15.0% to 19.99%	7.5% to 9.99%
20.0% and above	10.0%

The initial first year adjustment would provide a portion of the equity increase and place the class into the closest step (but not below) where they are now. Subsequent increases would be spaced on a similar schedule (at annual intervals) based upon the remaining disparity after each adjustment.

Please note that typically, for those classes that had a market disparity of 0 to 4.99%, we recommend a 0% increase in the first year and an adjustment in the second year. Depending upon the City's financial situation, which will have to be reviewed before each further adjustment is made, all market disparity adjustments are intended to be completed by the third year. The City may also consider a similar implementation plan over a longer period of time, like a five-year implementation plan.

Another option is to move employees into the salary range that is recommended for each class based on this market study and to the step within the new range that is closest to their current compensation. If employees' current salaries are significantly below market so that their current compensation falls below the bottom of the newly recommended range, then larger adjustments would be needed to move those employees at least to the bottom of the new salary range.

The City may spend additional time to go through a process of deliberation and decision-making as to what compensation philosophy it should implement to attract, motivate, and retain a high-quality workforce. However, the City may want to consider adjusting those classifications' salaries that are currently below the market median as soon as possible, assuming that incumbents' performance meets the City's level of expectation.

When classifications are over market, K&A typically recommends Y-rating employees whose current pay exceeds the maximum of the recommended range until the market numbers "catch up" with their current salary. To Y-rate an employee means to keep the employee's salary frozen and to provide no salary increases (including no cost of living adjustments) until the employee's current salary is within the recommended salary range. This will result in no immediate loss of income, but will delay any future increases until the incumbent's salary is within the salary range.

Other options to "freezing" a classification's salary in place until the market catches up are:

- "Grandfathering" of salary ranges: This means that the salary range for the classification is adjusted down to what the market numbers are. However, current incumbents would continue being paid at the current rate of pay (which would put them outside of the new and adjusted salary range for the class) until they separate from employment with the City. Any new-hires would be paid within the newly established salary range.
- Single-incumbent classes: If a class only has one incumbent, an option would be to wait until the person separates from employment with the City and then adjust the salary range for the class according to the market.
- ➤ Recent hires: Some employees who have recently been hired may still be at one of the lower steps within their current salary range. So, even if the top of their current salary range is above market, the incumbents are currently still paid below the market maximum because they are not at the top of their current salary range. In this case, an immediate salary range adjustment could be made to bring the salary range within the market. This

would bring the affected incumbents either to the top of the market range or very close to it, but they would not technically be Y-rated or lose any pay.

Another option, of course, is to actually reduce salaries down to the market. However, from an employee relations perspective this may not be a viable option.

USING THE MARKET DATA AS A TOOL

K&A would like to reiterate that this report and the findings are meant to be a tool for the City to create and implement an equitable compensation plan. Compensation strategies are designed to attract and retain excellent staff; however, financial realities and the City's expectations may also come into play when determining appropriate compensation philosophies and strategies. The collected data presented herein represents a market survey that will give the City an instrument to make future compensation decisions.

It has been a pleasure working with the City of Sonora on this critical project. Please do not hesitate to contact us if we can provide any additional information or clarification regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted by,

Koff & Associates

Katie Kaneko President

Appendix I

Results Summary

AVERAGE:
\$ 15,062

•

Appendix II

Market Compensation Findings

Administ	Administration/Finance Assistant							
•			Top Monthly	Ropofito	Total	Salary		Next
Kank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Salary	Package	Monthly	Effective	Increase	Percentage
				6	Comp	Date	III ci ease	Increase
ì	Gly/of-Sonora	Administration/Finance Assistant	\$ 4,039	\$ 3,208	\$ 7,247	\$7,247 1/14/2017	unknown	unknown
,	City of Jackson	Accounting Assistant III	\$3,544	\$ 3,177	\$ 6,721		unknown	unknown
u	City of Angels Camp	Accountant II ¹	\$ 3,808	\$ 2,797	\$ 6,605	\$ 6,605 7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
4	City of Auburn	Accounting Technician	\$ 3,997	\$ 2,465	\$ 6,462	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
,	City of Stockton	Finance Assistant II/Revenue Assistant II ²	\$ 4,397	\$ 2,054	\$ 6,451	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
6	City of Escalon	Account Clerk II	\$ 4,111	\$ 1,802	\$ 5,914	\$ 5,914 1/1/2017	unknown	inknown
7	City of Dos Palos	Bookkeeper	\$ 3,455	\$ 2,433	\$ 5,888	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.00%
~	County of Tuolumne	Account Clerk II/Senior Office Assistant ²	\$ 2,934	\$ 2,492	\$ 5,427	\$ 5,427 2/5/2017	7/1/2018	2.00%
9	City of Modesto	Account Clerk II/Admin Office Assistant II ²	\$ 3,720	\$ 1,575	\$ 5,295	\$ 5,295 2/23/2016	unknown	unknown
10	City of Newman	Account Clerk II	\$ 3,528	\$ 1,722	\$ 5,250	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2 50%
	City of Livingston	Office Assistant II	\$ 2,363	\$ 2,083	\$ 4,446	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.50%
12	City of Grass Valley	N/C						

10	Number of Matches 10
18.6%	% City of Sonora Above/Below 10.1%
\$ 5,901	Median of Comparators \$3,632
19.3%	20 city of bollor a Above/ below 11.2%
\$ 5,846	
Total Monthly	Top Monthly

N/C Non Comparator

¹⁻ Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.

²⁻ This match is a functional bracket to represent the duties of the City's class, which are performed by more than one class at the comparator agency. The salary displayed is the higher of the matches.

FINAL DRAFT

County of Tuolumne	Account Clerk II/Senior Office Assistant	\$ 2,934	2%@60	\$ -90	\$ 205			20.4	\$ 187			\$ 1.869				\$ 10				\$ 169	\$ 147				\$ 2.463
City of Stockton	Finance Asst II/Revenue Asst II	\$ 4,397	2%@55				4	4 59				\$ 1 496	2011			٥٥	36.5	07.5		\$ 228	\$ 237				73064
City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton	Account Clerk Finance Asst II/Admin Office Account Clerk II II/Revenue Asst Assistant II II	\$ 3,528	2%@55						\$ 219				4110) 1,150	\$ 175					\$ 204	\$ 149) }			
City of Modesto	Account Clerk II/Admin Office Assistant II	\$ 3,720	2%@60	\$ -113						\$ 37		61.750) 1,20U				23	or ¢		\$ 215	\$ 157	, CT 6			
City of Livingston	N/C																								
City of Jackson	Accounting Assistant III	\$ 3,544	2.5%@55	\$ 175				\$ 48	\$ 220	\$ 100				\$ 2,051	\$ 154	\$ 22	\$ 2			VUC \$	7 700	\$ 198			
City of Grass Valley	N/C																								
City of Escalon	Account Clerk II	\$ 4,111	2%@60	\$ -125					\$ 255					\$ 1,017	\$ 144	\$ 30	\$\$		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	1000	\$ 237	\$ 237			
City of Dos Palos	Bookkeeper	\$ 3,455	3%@60	\$ 339					\$ 214					\$ 1,178	\$ 155	\$ 29				,	\$ 186	\$ 213			
City of Aubum	Accounting Technician	796'8 \$	2%@55					\$ 54					\$ 1,769			\$6	\$ 15				\$ 261	\$ 208	\$ 154		
City of Angels Camp	Accountant II	\$ 3,808	2 7% @EE	\$ 307				¢ 51	\$ 736	0027				\$ 1.600	\$ 130	\$31	\$5	\$ 27			\$ 220	\$ 190	37		5.21
City of Sonora	Administration/ Finance	\$ 4.039	- 10 WE	\$ 325				4	4 35	067 ¢				\$ 1 964	5.71	\$ 19	\$ 21	\$ 37			\$ 233	\$ 233			
Agency	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Top Monthly	Salary	Classic Enhanced	Formula Cost ER Paid Member	nt Contrib	Classic EPMC as			Deferred	Compensation	Other Ret.	Cafeteria	10214		Vision			STD/SDI	Other Ins.	y Vacation	Holidays		O Auto	

Administi	Administrative Analyst	A CANADA					
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Top Monthly Salary	Benefits Package	Total Monthly Comp	Salary Effective Date	Next Salary Increase
ь	City of Angels Camp	Administrative Specialist/Deputy City Clerk ¹	\$ 6,446	\$ 4,087	\$ 10,533	\$10,533 7/1/2016	unknown
2	City of Sonora	Administrative Analyst	\$ 5,836	\$ 3,712	\$ 9,548	\$ 9,548 1/14/2017	unknown
ω	City of Stockton	Human Resources Analyst II/Finance Assistant II ²	\$ 5,830		\$ 8,175	\$ 8,175 7/1/2006	unknown
4	City of Auburn	Administrative Technical Analyst	\$ 5,401	\$ 2,703	\$8,104	\$8,104 7/1/2016	unknown
5	County of Tuolumne	Human Resources Analyst II/Payroll Technician II ³	\$ 4,894	\$3,198	\$ 8,092	\$ 8,092 6/26/2016	7/1/2017
6	City of Modesto	Human Resources Analyst II/Account Technician (C) ³	\$ 5,774	\$ 1,902	\$ 7,677	\$7,677 2/23/2016	unknown
7	City of Livingston	Administrative Analyst/Senior Account Clerk ²	\$3,794	\$ 2,397	\$ 6,191	\$6,191 7/1/2016	7/1/2017
∞	City of Dos Palos	N/C					
9	City of Escalon	N/C					
10	City of Grass Valley	N/C					
11	City of Newman	N/C					
12	City of Jackson	N/C					

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 5,356	\$ 8,129
% City of Sonora Above/Below	8.2%	14.9%
Median of Comparators	\$ 5,588	\$ 8,098
% City of Sonora Above/Below	4.3%	15.2%
Number of Matches	6	6

N/C Non Comparator

- 1- Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.
- 2- This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is the higher of the matches.
- 3- This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

1	ΑII	ov	Le	av	es			In	su	ran	ce							Re	etir	eme	nt						Benchm Ag		
Unitorm	Iniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Formula Cost	Enhanced	Classic	Top Monthly	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency	
				\$ 337	\$ 337			\$ 53	\$ 21	\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 362	\$ 79					\$4/0	, (2.7%@55	\$ 5,836	Administrative Analyst	City of Sonora	
0			\$ 372	\$ 322	\$ 372			\$46	\$5	\$31	\$ 130	\$ 1,805					\$ 400	\$87					, erc ¢	÷ = 10	2.7%@55	\$ 6,446	Administrative Specialist/Deput y City Clerk	City of Angels Camp	
	Section Statement of the		\$ 208	\$ 280	\$ 353			the state of the s	\$ 15	\$6			\$ 1,769					\$ 73							2%@55	\$ 5,401	Administrative Technical Analyst	City of Auburn	
		The second secon						A Comment of the Comm																			N/C	City of Dos Palos	
																											N/C	City of Escalon	
																											N/C	City of Grass Valley	
	7 7 7 7 7																										N/C	City of Jackson	
				+034	\$ 204	\$ 292		0.1	\$ 20	\$ 24		0+0,1	\$ 1 540						\$ 5,1			\$ 266			2%@55	\$ 3,794	Analyst/Senior Account Clerk	City of Livingston	
			V	\$111	\$ 244	\$ 333			\$ 25	\$ 18			4 -)	\$ 1 260		\$ 87								\$ -176	2%@60	\$ 5,774	Resources	City of Modesto	
																											N/C	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton	
					\$ 314	\$ 415			\$ 34	\$8				\$ 1,496					\$ 79						2%@55	\$ 5,830	Resources Analyst	City of Stockton	
					\$ 207	\$ 565		\$ 29		\$ 38				\$ 1,869				\$ 303				\$ 343		\$ -149	2%@60	\$ 4,894	Resources Analyst	Tuolumne	County of

Page 2b of 17

Administr	Administrative Services Director/Finance Director	ector						
			Ton Monthly	Ranafite	Total	Salary		Next
Kank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Salary	Package	Monthly	Effective	Next salary	Percentage
The second second second				, acresc	Comp	Date	mcrease	Increase
بر	City of Grass Valley	Finance Director	\$ 11,805	\$ 5,457	\$ 17,261	2/9/2016	unknown	unknown
2	City of Modesto	Director of Administrative Services/Budget	\$ 11,071	\$ 3,420	\$ 14,491	\$14,491 7/12/2016	7/27/2017	2.00%
		Manager/Accounting Manager ³				•		
				_	.			
u	City of Stockton	Assistant Chief Fiscal Officer/Accounting	\$ 11,175	\$ 3,117	\$ 14,291	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
		Manager/Budget Officer ^{3,4}						
4	City of Livingston	Assistant City Manager/Finance Director	\$ 9,849	\$ 4,181	\$ 14,030	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
5	City of Angels Camp	Finance Officer ¹	\$ 9,036	\$ 4,938	\$ 13,974	\$13,974 7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
6	City of Auburn	Finance Director	\$ 10.250	\$ 3,709	\$ 13 959	\$ 13 959 7/18/2016	inknown	inknown.
. 7	City of Sonora	Administrative Services Director/Finance	\$7,608	\$ 4,577	\$ 12,185	- A.L.	unknown	unknown
	The second secon	Director						
oc	City of Newman	Finance Director	\$ 8,673	\$ 2,778	\$ 11,451	\$11,451 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	4.75%
و	County of Tuolumne	Business Manager	\$ 6,018	\$ 4,071	\$ 10,088	\$ 10,088 6/26/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
10	City of Dos Palos	Finance and Personnel Director	\$ 6,071	\$ 3,244	\$ 9,315	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	unknown
11	City of Escalon	N/C ²						
12	City of Jackson	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
	op monthly	Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 9,327	\$ 13,207
% City of Sonora Above /Bolow		+ 1-0/
" CITY OI SOI IOI A ABOVE/ BEIOW	-22.6%	-8.4%
Median of Comparators	\$ 9,849	\$ 13,974
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-29.5%	-14.7%
Number of Matches	9	9

N/C Non Comparator

- 1- Admin Services Director position not filled; job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.
- 2- The City Manager performs the functions of a Finance Director and Human Resources Director, shared with the City Clerk.
- 3- This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.
- 4- 7/12/2016 and 2% increase is Dir of Admin Svcs. 2/23/2016 and unknown increase is Accounting Manager; 7/12/2016 and unknown is Budget Manager.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

All	lov	Le	ave	es			In	sur	ane	ce							Re	tire	me	nt					Benchr Ag	
Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life ¹	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Top Monthly Salary	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
		\$ 351	\$ 439	\$ 439			\$ 69	\$ 38	\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 472	\$ 103					\$ 612	2.7%@55	\$ 7,608	Services Director/Financ	City of Sonora
		\$ 521	\$ 452	\$ 521	1300	541105	\$ 64	\$5	\$31	\$ 130	\$ 1,805					\$ 560	\$ 122					\$ 727	2.7%@55	\$ 9,036	Finance Officer	City of Angels Camp
	\$ 150	\$ 394	\$ 532	\$ 670					\$6						\$ 50		\$ 138						2%@55	\$ 10,250	Finance Officer Finance Director	City of Auburn
		\$ 210	\$ 374	\$ 327					\$ 29	\$ 155	\$ 1,178					\$ 376						\$ 595	3%@60	\$ 6,071	Finance and Personnel Director	City of Dos Palos
									THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T			100 No. 100 Tel 100 No.	Application of the second												N/C	City of Escalon
		\$ 1,680	\$ 499				\$ 48	\$ 11	Marine and American Inches			\$ 1,818				\$ 657	\$ 159					\$ 584	2.5%@55	\$ 11,805	Finance Director	City of Grass Valley
									Section Control of the Control																N/C	City of Jackson
		\$ 304	\$ 533	\$ 701	4 761		\$ 44	\$ 24	2		\$ 1,540						\$ 134				\$ 693		2%@55	\$ 9,894	Assistant City Manager/Financ e Director	City of Livingston
	\$ 400	\$ 426	> 468	\$ 450	¢ 630		\$ 49°	\$18	200			007'T ¢	¢ 1 760	\$ 332	\$ 166							\$ -338	2%@60	\$ 11,071	-	City of Modesto
		\$ 334	\$ 30/	\$ 367	\$ 500					\$ 125	\$ 1,250	200		37	\$ 173	\$ 538							2%@55	\$ 8,673	Dir of Admin Svcs/Budget Finance Director CFO/Accounting Mgr/Acctng Mgr Mgr/Budget	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
			200 ¢	\$ 603	\$ 795		400	÷ 65	82			, ±, ±, 0	\$ 1 496				, LUL	\$ 151					25،@%2	\$11,175	Assistant CFO/Accounting Mgr/Budget	City of Stockton
		107 ¢	6 7 27	\$ 255	\$ 636		95.5	Ų	\$ 19			4 4,000	\$ 1.869		\$ 421	0/00	£ 272				\$ 421	\$-184	00എ%2	\$ 6,018	Business Manager	County of Tuolumne

N/C - Non Comparator
1 - City of Auburn: City was not able to provide data.

Page 3b of 17

City of Dos Palos * Chief of Police				City of Angels Camp		and the second s		Ey		4	2 City of Auburn ² Public Safety Director (Chief of Po	1 City of Stockton Deputy Chief of Police II/Police Ca	The second secon	Classification Title		CHELOI COICE	Chief of Boliso
						And the second s				4		Deputy Chief of Police II/Police Captain	The second secon			m management makement with the processor of the contract of the same of the contract of the contract of the contract of	
\$ 7,167	\$ 8,750	\$ 8,928	\$ 9,843	\$ 10,518	\$ 10,549	\$ 10,921	\$ 12,360	\$ 12,819	\$ 12,080	6 13 000	\$ 13 404	\$ 16,144	Calary	Salany	Top Monthly	a company of the comp	
\$3,986	\$ 2,657	\$ 4,963	\$ 4,804	\$ 6,045	\$ 6,186	\$ 5,987	\$ 4,840	\$6,073	\$ 7,301	9 7,00	86.286	\$ 6.344	Fackage	Packago	Renefits		
\$ 11,153	\$ 11,407	\$ 13,891	\$ 14,647	\$ 16,563	\$ 16,735	\$ 16,908	\$ 17,200	\$ 18,893	\$ 19,459	# 10,000	\$ 10 600	\$ 22,488	Comp	Monthly	Total		
7/1/2017	1/1/2017	7/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2016	1/14/2017	7/1/2017	7/12/2016	2/9/2016	6/26/2016	0102/41/6	0/14/2015	7/1/2016	Date	Effective	Salary		
7/1/2018	unknown	unknown	7/1/2017	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	7/1/2017	UIIKIOWII	unkriowi.	inknown	increase	Next Salary			
unknown	unknown	unknown	5.25%	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	3.00%	unknown	CI IN CIVIL	inknown	Increase	Per	Next		

\$ 16,908 -1.0%	\$ 10,921 -3.5%	Median of Comparators % City of Sonora Above/Below
Monthly \$ 16,573 1.0%	Top Monthly \$ 11,177 -6.0%	Summary Results Average of Comparators % City of Sonora Above/Below

<sup>N/C - Non Comparator
1 - City of Angels Camp: Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.
2 - City of Auburn: Position is Police Chief/Fire Chief, the job description has not yet been created.
3 - City of Modesto: This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.
4 - Base salaries include certification and longevity pay differentials. Most agencies do not provide these differentials providing a better TC comparison.</sup>

ΑI	lov	L	ea	ves				In	su	raı	nce	,															Benchr Ag	
Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Vication	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life ¹	VISION	Vision	Donto	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Year	Single Highest	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Salary	Top Monthly	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
		\$ 487	\$ 00°	* 600	e ene			\$ 96	\$ 53	4 7	e 40	2.77	\$ 1,964					\$ 654		\$ 248			\$ 1,377	3%@50	\$ 10,075	£ 10 549	Chief of Police	City of Sonora
		\$ 607	\$ 507 \$ 020 \$	\$ 500,	\$ 607	1000		\$ 64	ŞS	, U.	\$ 21	\$ 130	\$ 1,805					\$ 652		\$ 247	2		\$ 1,373	3%@50	محدرت ب	\$ 10 518	Chief of Police	Camp
		arc ¢	\$ 516	\$ 60.5	\$ 1 186						٧.			\$ 1,769			\$ 50	10		\$ 315			\$ 1,749	3%@50	4	\$ 13.404	Public Safety Director (Chief of Police)	City of Auburn
		047 ¢	\$ 740	\$ 441	\$ 386					415	\$ 29	\$ 155	\$ 1,178	The state of the state of				\$ 444		\$ 168			\$ 935	3%@50	7 17	\$ 7,167	Chief of Police	Palos
			1	\$ 404	\$ 505				\$ LO	¢ 15	\$ 30	\$ 144	\$ 1,017					\$ 543						2%@55		\$ 8,750	Chief of Police	City of Escalon
		A 1,011	\$1824	\$ 542				\$ 48	211	¢ 11				\$ 1,818			8	\$ 65/		\$ 301			\$ 872	3%@55		\$ 12,819	Police Chief	Valley
				\$ 498	\$ 515					\$5	\$ 22	\$ 154	\$ 2,051	The second second second				> 554	À 22.				\$1,165	3%@50	38/050	\$ 8,928	Police Chief	City of Jackson
			\$ 504	\$ 588	\$ 840			1	41.	\$ 24			\$ 1,540							\$ 257		\$ 764	\$ 1,425	3%@30	2% @FO	\$ 10,921	Chief of Police	Livingston
		\$ 400	\$ 475	\$ 523	\$ 713	1			\$ 54	\$ 18				\$ 1,260	1100	\$ 271	\$ 185						\$ 840	٥٨٩٥٥	3% @EE	\$ 12,360	Police Lieutenant/Chie f of Police	City of Wiodesia
		\$ 450	\$ 379	\$ 416	\$ /5/	۲ - ۱۲ ۲						\$125	\$ 1,200	61,300			\$ 197	7 010	¢ 610				\$ 669	0/08000	3%@55	\$ 9,843	Police Chief	
			\$ 310	\$ 807	\$1,145	61110			\$ 87	\$ &				\$ 1,450	2 400					\$ 379			\$ 2,107		3%@50	\$ 16,144	Deputy Chief of Police II/Police Captain	City of Microsco.
				\$ 512	OCC,1 ¢	¢ 1 306		\$ 47		\$ 38				Ç00,1 ¢	¢ 1 060		\$ 847		\$ 657			\$ 847	\$ 1,149	. !	2%@50	\$ 12,098	Undersheriff	Tuolumne

Page 4b of 17

N/C - Non Comparator
1 - City of Auburn: City was not able to provide data.

City Administrator	nistrator							
•					Total	Salary		Next
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Salary	Package	Monthly	Effective	Next Salary	Percentage
			Jaiaiy	FacNage	Comp	Date	Increase	Increase
1	City of Stockton	Deputy City Manager	\$ 17,299	\$ 3,987	\$ 21,286	\$ 21,286 7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
2	City of Sonora	City Administrator	\$ 12,264	\$ 7,300	\$ 20,000	unknown	unknown	unknown
з	City of Auburn	City Manager	\$ 15,000	\$ 4,868	\$ 19,868	\$ 19,868 2/24/2017	unknown	unknown
4	City of Grass Valley	City Manager	\$ 13,584	\$ 5,897	\$ 19,481	\$ 19,481 2/9/2016	unknown	unknown
5	City of Modesto	Deputy City Manager ³	\$ 14,733	\$ 3,996	\$ 18,729	\$ 18,729 7/12/2016	unknown	unknown
6	City of Angels Camp	City Administrator ¹	\$ 12,378	\$ 6,302	\$18,680 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
7		City Manager	\$ 12,929	\$ 4,406	\$ 17,335	\$ 17,335 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	4.75%
000	City of Livingston	City Manager	\$ 11,667	\$ 4,656	\$ 16,322 unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown
9	City of Escalon	City Manager	\$ 10,016	\$3,140	\$ 13,156 1/1/2017	1/1/2017	unknown	unknown
10	City of Jackson	City Manager ²	\$ 8,194	\$ 4,286	\$12,480 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
111	City of Dos Palos	City Manager	\$ 6,766	\$ 3,459	\$ 10,225 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	unknown
12	County of Tuolumne	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
	TOP MOTERITY	Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 12,257	\$ 16,756
% City of Sonora Above/Below	0.1%	16.2%
Median of Comparators	\$ 12,654	\$ 18,007
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-3.2%	10.0%
Number of Matches	10	10

N/C Non Comparator

- 1- Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.2- Position is currently vacant.3- Interim city manager.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

Benefit	ΑI	lov	Le	ave	es			ln	sur	an	ce							Re	tire	eme	ent					Benchn Ag	
Benefit Package Total	Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life ¹	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Top Monthly Salary	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
\$ 7,300			\$ 566	\$ 708	\$ 708			\$ 102	\$ 61	\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964				\$ 1 291	\$ 657	\$ 166					\$ 987	2.7%@55	\$ 12,264	City Administrator	City of Sonora
\$ 6,302		\$ 400	\$ 714	\$ 619	\$ 714			\$ 64	\$5	\$ 31	\$ 130	\$ 1,805					\$ 657	\$ 167					\$ 996	2.7%@55	\$ 12,378	City Administrator	City of Angels Camp
\$ 3,100		\$ 400	\$ 577	\$ 779	\$ 981					\$6						\$ 155		\$ 203	*					2%@55	\$ 15,000	City Manager	City of Auburn
\$ 3,460			\$ 234	\$ 416	\$ 364					\$ 29	\$ 155	\$ 1,178					\$ 419						\$ 663	3%@60	\$ 6,766	City Manager	City of Dos Palos
0 \$3,140			\$ 462	\$ 578	\$ 578				\$ 15	\$ 30	\$ 144	\$ 1,017					\$ 621						\$ -306	2%@60	\$ 10,016	City Manager	City of Escalon
0 \$ 5,897			\$ 1,933	\$ 575				\$ 48	\$11				\$ 1,818				\$ 657	\$ 183					\$ 672	2.5%@55	\$ 13,584	City Manager	City of Grass Valley
7 \$ 4,286				\$ 457	\$4/3				\$ 5	\$ 22	\$ 154	\$ 2,051				\$ 100	\$ 508	\$ 111					\$ 406	2.5%@55	\$ 8,194	City Manager	City of Jackson
6 \$ 4,467			\$ 359		>89/	202		\$44	\$ 24			\$ 1,540						\$ 158				\$817		2%@55	\$ 11,667	City Manager	City of Livingston
7 \$ 3,996		\$ 400	\$ 567	\$ 623	\$ 633	Ĉ OFO		\$ 60	\$ 18	200		100	\$ 1,260	24+¢	\$ AA3	\$ 221							\$ -449	2%@60	\$ 14,733	Deputy City Manager	City of Modesto
6 \$ 4,406		\$ 500	\$49/	\$ 547	\$ 7.40	\$ 746						\$ 1,200	200			\$ 259	7007	7 77				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2×@32	\$ 12,929	City Manager	City of Newman
)6 \$ 3,987				2000	\$ 027 2 7,2 0,2	\$ 1 731		400	\$ 87	\$ \$			06#,T ¢	¢ 1 406				+C2 ¢	\$ 724					CCM0%7	\$ 17,299	Deputy City Manager	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
7 \$0																										N/C	County of Tuolumne

N/C - Non Comparator
1 - City of Auburn: City was not able to provide data.

Page 5b of 17

					Total	Salary		Next
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Salary	Benefits Package	Monthly Comp		Next Salary Increase	Percentage Increase
ы	City of Stockton	Police Telecommunicator II/ Police Records	\$ 5,661	\$ 2,212	\$7,873 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	unknown
		Assistant II ¹	, 18					
2	County of Tuolumne	Sheriff's Dispatcher II	\$ 4,287	\$ 2,993	\$ 7,280	\$ 7,280 12/25/2016	1/1/2018	3.00%
3	City of Sonora	Communications Dispatcher	\$ 3,862	\$3,108	\$ 6,970			unknown
4	City of Auburn	Dispatcher II	\$ 4,196	\$ 2,002	\$ 6,198	\$ 6,198 7/1/2016		unknown
5	City of Livingston	Police Dispatcher	\$ 3,388	\$ 2,308	\$ 5,696	\$ 5,696 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
6	City of Newman	N/C						
7	City of Angels Camp	N/C						
∞	City of Jackson	N/C						
9	City of Modesto	N/C						
10	City of Grass Valley	N/C						
11	City of Escalon	N/C			, ,			
12	City of Dos Palos	N/C						

Summary Results Top Monthl	У
Average of Comparators \$ 4,383	\$ 6,762
% City of Sonora Above/Below -13.5%	3.0%
Median of Comparators \$ 4,241	\$ 6,739
% City of Sonora Above/Below -9.8%	3.3%
Number of Matches 4	4

N/C Non Comparator

1- This match is a functional bracket to represent the duties of the City's class, which are performed by more than one class at the comparator agency. The salary displayed is the higher of the matches. 5.7% is market adjustment for the Police Records Assistant II; Police Telecommunicator increase is 2%.

FINAL DRAFT

County of Tuolumne	Sheriff's Dispatcher II	\$ 4,287	2%@60	\$ -131	\$ 300			\$ 799	\$ 300		\$ 1,869			010	01.0			\$ 247	6 133	\$ 132			
City of Stockton	Police Telecommunicat or II/Police	\$ 5,661	2%@55				\$ 76				\$ 1,496			Q	200	\$ 33		\$ 201	4224	\$ 305			
City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton	N/C																						
City of Modesto	N/C																						
City of Livingston	Police Dispatcher	\$ 3,388	2%@22		\$ 237		\$ 46					\$ 1,540			\$ 24	\$ 18		2000	\$ 791	\$ 182			
City of Jackson	N/C																						
City of Grass Valley	N/C																						
City of Escalon	N/C																					The second second second second	
City of Dos Palos	N/C																	The second second					
City of Auburn	Dispatcher II	\$ 4,196	2%@55	-	\$ 57						\$ 1.441			\$ 6	\$ 15				\$ 274	\$ 210			
City of Angels Camp	N/C												10000	Person			50475		NO.				
City of Sonora	Communication s Dispatcher	\$ 3,862	2.7%@55	\$311			\$ 52	\$ 239				\$ 1.964	\$74	\$ 19		\$ 35			\$ 223	\$ 193			
Agency	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Top Monthly	Salary	Enhanced Formula Cost	ER Paid Member Contrib	Classic EPMC as	Special Comp Single Highest	Social Security	Deferred	Compensation	Other Ket.	Health	Dental	Vision	Life	LTD	STD/SDI	Other Ins.	Vacation	Holidays	Admin Leave	Auto	Uniform
*	Benchma Age					remei								gue	ıns	suj			Si	946	₽Ţ	۸Ο	ΙA

			Top Monthly		Total	Salary		Next
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Salary	Benefits Package	Monthly Comp	Effective Date	Next Salary Increase	Percentage
1	City of Grass Valley	Community Development Director	\$ 11,805	\$ 5,457	61	2/9/2016	linknown	awoadau
2	County of Tuolumne	Assistant Community Resource Agency	\$ 10 416	\$ 5 373	\$ 15 700	E/2/2016	7/1/2017	D SOV
-		Director-Development	014,01¢	\$ 2,3/3	\$ 15,/89	\$ 15,789 6/26/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
u	City of Modesto	Disciplination Contact Andrews						
ı	city of Modesto	busiless celler Manager/Director of	\$ 11,883	\$ 3,548	\$ 15,431	\$ 15,431 7/12/2016	unknown	unknown
		Community and Economic Development ²					-	
4	City of Stockton	Deputy Community Development Director	\$ 12,317	\$ 3,045	\$ 15,362 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	unknown
		Building & Life Safety	-					
5	City of Angels Camp	Planning Director ¹	\$ 9,036	\$ 4,938	\$ 13,973 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
6	City of Livingston	Community Development Director	\$8,787	\$ 3,494	\$ 12.281 7/1/2016	_	7/1/2017	2 50%
7	City of Sonora	Community Development Director	\$ 7,608	\$ 4,577	\$ 12.185			IIIKaowa
∞	City of Escalon	Development Services Manager	\$8,348	\$ 2,432	\$ 10.780 1/1/2017		- 8	inknown
9	City of Newman	N/C						
10	City of Dos Palos	N/C						
11	City of Auburn	N/C						
12	City of Jackson	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
	, op monenly	Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 10,370	\$ 14,411
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-36.3%	-18.3%
Median of Comparators	\$ 10,416	\$ 15,362
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-36.9%	-26.1%
Number of Matches	7	7

N/C Non Comparator

¹⁻ Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.

²⁻ This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.

	Benefit	Allo	Le	aves	5		Ins	urar	nce						Ret	irem						Benchn	
	Benefit Package Total	Auto Uniform	Admin Leave	Holidays	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Top Monthly Salary	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
	\$ 4,577		\$ 351	\$ 439	\$ 439		\$ 69	\$ 38	\$71	\$ 1,964				\$ 472	\$ 103				\$ 612	2.7%@55	\$ 7,608	Community Development Director	City of Sonora
	\$ 4,938		\$ 521	\$ 452	\$ 521		\$ 64	\$5	\$ 130	\$ 1,805				\$ 560	\$ 122				\$ 727	2.7%@55	\$ 9,036	Planning Director	City of Angels Camp
	\$ 0				320 (00) November (00)																	N/C	City of Auburn
	\$ 0																					N/C	City of Dos Palos
	0 \$ 2,432			\$ 482	\$ 482			\$15	\$ 144	\$ 1,017				\$ 518					\$ -255	2%@60	\$ 8,348	Development Services Manager	City of Escalon
	2 \$ 5,457		\$ 1,680	\$ 499			\$ 48	\$ 11			\$ 1,818			\$ 657	\$ 159				\$ 584	2.5%@55	\$ 11,805	Community Development Director	City of Grass Valley
	<i>17</i>																					N/C	City of Jackson
*	0 \$3,897		\$ 406	\$ 473	\$ 676		\$ 44	\$ 24		\$ 1,540					\$ 119		4	\$ 615		2%@55	\$ 8,787	Community Development Director	City of Livingston
	7 \$3,548		\$ 457	\$ 503	\$ 686		\$ 52	\$ 18			\$ 1,260	\$ 356	\$ 178						\$-362	2%@60	\$ 11,883	Bus Center Mgr/Dir of Comm and Econ Development	City of Modesto
	s,																	JA				N/C	City of Newman
	0 \$3,045		+	\$ 663	\$ 640		\$71	\$8			\$ 1,496				\$ 166					2℃@%2	\$ 12,317	Deputy Community Dev Dir Building & Life Safety	City of Newman City of Stockton
	\$ 5,382 \$ 5,382			\$ 441	\$ 1,202	\$4/	6.47	\$ 38			\$ 1,869		\$ 729	\$ 646				\$ 729	\$-318	00@%2	\$ 10,416	Assistant Community Resources Agency Director Development	County of Tuolumne

Page 7b of 17

)								
Comman	Community Development Specialist	and the control of th						
Rank			Top Monthly	Benefits	Total	Salary	Nova Colony	Next
Nalla	comparator Agency	Classification Title	Calary	Dackman	Monthly	(D	Next Salary	Percentage
			Salary	Package	Comp	Dato	Increase	0
1	City of Grass Valley	Community Services Analyst	\$ 5 134	¢ 2 070	100 0 3	10/1/2016	20/2/201	illelease
2	City of Stockton		+0.1,C	0,0,0	\$ 6,204	> 0,204 TU/1/2016	10/1/2017	2.00%
الا	Country of Title	Continuinty Development Technician	\$ 5,246	\$ 2,160	\$ 7,407	\$7,407 7/1/2016	7/1/2018	4.20%
	Codity of Tuolumne	Permit Technician II	\$ 4,484	\$ 2,816	\$ 7,300	\$ 7,300 2/5/2017	7/1/2018	2.00%
4	City of Sonora	Community Development Specialist	\$ 4,039	\$ 3,208	\$ 7.247			
·	City of Angels Camp	Planning Technician ¹	\$ 4,290	\$ 2,927	\$7,217 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	4	unknown
6	City of Modesto	Development Services Technician II	\$ 5.129	\$ 1 693	\$ 6 822	\$6.822 2/23/2016		
7	City of Escalon	N/C	į	1 11/000	770,00	0107/62/2	ul Milowit	alikilown
8	City of Livingston	N/C		1				
9	City of Newman	N/C						
10	City of Dos Palos	N/C						
11	City of Auburn	N/C						
12	City of Jackson	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
	- op monany	Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 4,857	\$ 7,390
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-20.3%	-2.0%
Median of Comparators	\$ 5,129	\$ 7,300
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-27.0%	-0.7%
Number of Matches	ហ	л

N/C Non Comparator

 $1 extstyle{1 extsty}$

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

	ΑI	lov	Le	ave	es			Ins	sur	and	ce							Re	tir	eme	nt						Benchm Ag	
	Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Salary	Top Monthly	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
* 2 200				\$ 233	\$ 233			\$ 37	\$ 21	\$ 19	\$ 71	\$1,964					\$ 250	\$ 55					\$ 325	2.7%@55		\$ 4,039	Community Development Specialist	City of Sonora
e 2 027				\$ 215	\$ 248			\$ 30	\$5	\$ 31	\$ 130	\$ 1,600					\$ 266	\$ 58					\$ 345	2.7%@55		\$ 4,290	Planning Technician	City of Angels Camp
* 0																											N/C	City of Auburn
\$0																											N/C	City of Dos Palos
n \$0																									CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF TH		N/C	City of Escalon
0 \$3,070				\$776	\$ 276	200		\$ 27	\$ 11	2			\$1,818	2			\$ 318	\$ 24.5	à				\$ 254	2.5%	2 5%@55	\$ 5,134	Community Services Analyst	City of Grass Valley
0 \$ 0																											N/C	City of Jackson
0 \$0																											N/C	City of Livingston
0 \$1,742	4	\$ 49		4	\$ 217	\$ 296		62.6	\$ 73	۲,			7 1,100	\$ 1 260		\$ 51							\$-156		2%@60	\$ 5,129	Services Technician II	City of Modesto
2 \$0																											N/C	City of Newman
0 \$ 2,160					\$ 282	\$ 272		1	\$ 30	\$ 8				\$ 1,496					\$ 71						2%@55	\$ 5,246	Development Technician	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
0 \$ 2,816					\$ 224	\$ 259				\$ 10				\$ 1,869				\$ 278				\$ 314		¢ _137	2%@60	\$ 4,484	Permit Technician II	County of Tuolumne

Page 8b of 17

Equipme	Equipment Mechanic							
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Top Monthly	Benefits	Total	Salary	Next Salary	Next
			Salary	Package	Comp	Data		Percentage
Ь	City of Stockton	Mechanic II Light/Mechanic II Heavy	\$ 5.283	\$ 2 145	4 7 7 5 duigs		7/1/2017	Increase
2	County of Tuolumne	Senior Equipment Technician	202,00	C+1,2 ¢	\$ 1,428	L	7/1/2017	5.90%
3	CivorSonors	comes equipment recumición	> 4,484	\$ 2,816	\$ 7,300	\$ 7,300 2/5/2017	7/1/2018	2.00%
4	City of Auburn	Equipment viechanic	\$ 4,039	\$ 3,208	\$ 7,247	\$7,247 1/14/2017 unknown		unknown
7	Other Park	MECHALIC	\$ 4,831	\$ 2,412	\$ 7,243	\$7,243 7/1/2016		2.00%
, , ,	City of Modesto	Equipment Mechanic	\$ 5,129	\$ 1,693	\$ 6.822		inknown	inknown.
6	City of Livingston	Maintenance Mechanic	\$ 4 065	¢ 2 /E 7	¢ 6 500	⊥.	CHANICALL	CIRCIOWI
7	City of Newman	N/C	4,000	10+12 6	22C'0 ¢	9107/1// 775,0 ¢	//1/2017	2.75%
8	City of Angels Camp	N/C						
9	City of Jackson	N/C						
;		14/6						
10	City of Grass Valley	N/C						
11	City of Escalon	N/C						
12	City of Dos Palos	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
Average of Comparators	M	onthly
% City of Sonora Above /Relow	\$4,758	\$ 7,063
" city of John a Above/Below	-17.8%	2.5%
Median of Comparators	\$4,831 \$	\$ 7,243
20 city of Solitora Above/ below	-19.6%	0.1%
Number of Matches	C.	л

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefft Detail

Benefit	AI	llov	Le	eav	es			In	sur	an	ce							Re	etir	eme	ent							Benchr Ag	
Benefit Package Total	Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Formula Cost	Enhanced	Classic	Top Monthly Salary		Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
\$ 3,208				\$ 233	\$ 233			\$ 37	\$ 21	\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 250	\$ 55						\$ 325	2.7%@55	\$ 4,039		Equipment Mechanic	City of Sonora
\$0																												N/C	City of Angels Camp
\$ 2,412				\$ 251	\$ 316				\$6	\$6			\$ 1,769		1			\$ 65							2%@55	\$ 4,831		Mechanic	City of Auburn
2 \$0																												N/C	City of Dos Palos
0 \$0			The state of the s																									N/C	City of Escalon
0 \$0			The state of the s																									N/C	City of Grass Valley
0 \$0																												N/C	City of Jackson
0 \$ 2,457				5275	6 210	¢ 313		27.5	\$ 22 42 ¢	2		\$ 1,540	2					4,00	¢ 55			\$ 285			2%@55	\$ 4,065		Maintenance Mechanic	City of Livingston
7 \$1,742	4 40	\$ 49		7 22,	\$ 217	\$ 296		c7 ¢	\$ 72	٥			002'T ¢	\$ 1 260		\$ 51								\$ -156	2%@60	\$ 5,129		Equipment Mechanic	City of Modesto
																												N/C	City of Newman
\$0 \$2,145					\$ 264	\$ 274			\$ 31	\$			4 3	\$ 1.496					\$ 71						2/0	عې مېرو د د م	¢ E 202	Mechanic II Light/Mechanic II Heavy	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
.5 \$ 2,816					\$ 224	\$ 259				\$ 10				\$ 1,869				\$ 278				\$ 314		\$ -137	170000	2 4,404 2%@60	\$ 1 181	Senior Equipment Technician	10

Page 9b of 17

Rank Comparator Agency Classification Title Top Monthly Salary Benefits Salary Total Package Comp Salary Percentage Increase Next Salary Percentage Increase Monthly Effective Increase Increase Next Salary Percentage Next Salary Percentage <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>N/C</th><th>County of Tuolumne N</th><th>12 (</th></th<>							N/C	County of Tuolumne N	12 (
Comparator Agency Classification Title Top Monthly Salary Benefits Salary Monthly Package Effective Comp Comp Next Salary Increase City of Stockton Fire Captain \$8,516 \$3,954 \$12,470 7/1/2016 7/1/2017 7/1/2017 7/1/2017 7/1/2017 7/1/2017 7/1/2016 unknown City of Grass Valley Fire Captain \$6,909 \$3,983 \$10,892 7/1/2016 unknown City of Angels Camp Fire Captain \$6,845 \$3,068 \$9,912 7/1/2016 unknown City of Sonora Fire Captain \$5,249 \$4,046 \$9,925 1/14/2017 unknown City of Sonora Fire Captain \$5,058 \$3,802 \$8,860 7/1/2016 unknown City of Sonora Fire Captain \$5,058 \$3,802 \$8,860 7/1/2016 unknown Unknown City of Sonora Fire Captain \$5,058 \$3,802 \$8,860 7/1/2016 unknown City of Excalon N/C \$5,495 \$2,922 \$8,417 1/1							I/C		111 (
City of Stockton Fire Captain Classification Title Top Monthly Salary Benefits Salary Monthly Package Effective Comp Date Increase City of Stockton Fire Captain \$8,516 \$3,954 \$12,470 7/1/2016 7/1/2016 7/1/2017 7/1/2017 7/1/2017 7/1/2016 7/1/2016 7/1/2016 7/1/2016 7/1/2017 7/1/2016 7/1/2016 1/1/2017							1/C		10
Comparator AgencyClassification TitleTop Monthly Salary SalaryBenefits Salary SalaryTotal Salary Monthly PackageSalary CompTotal Salary Monthly PackageSalary CompPackage CompTotal Salary PackageSalary CompNext Salary DateCity of StocktonFire Captain\$8,516\$3,954\$12,4707/1/20167/1/20167/1/2017City of ModestoFire Captain\$6,909\$3,983\$10,8957/1/2016unknownCity of Angels CampFire Captain\$6,845\$3,968\$9,9127/1/2016unknownCity of SonoraFire Captain\$5,249\$4,046\$9,2951/14/2017unknownCity of AuburnFire Captain\$5,058\$3,802\$8,8607/1/2016unknownCity of ScalonFire Captain\$5,495\$2,922\$8,4171/1/2017unknownCity of ScalonFire Captain\$5,495\$2,922\$8,4171/1/2017unknown							1/C		
City of Stockton Fire Captain Captain Captain Salary Captain City of Stockton Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain Cap							1/C		
City of Stockton Fire Captain Captain Captain Salary City of Stockton Fire Captain Captain Salary Captain Salary Captain Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain Salary Captain Captain Captain Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain Captain Captain Salary Captain Captain Salary Captain	unknow	unknown	1/1/2017	\$8,417	\$ 2,922	\$ 5,495	ire Captain		7
Comparator AgencyClassification TitleTop Monthly SalaryBenefits SalaryMonthly PackageEffective Comp PackageNext Salary PackageCity of StocktonFire Captain\$8,516\$3,954\$12,4707/1/20167/1/2017City of ModestoFire Captain\$8,555\$3,340\$11,8957/1/20161/1/2017City of Grass ValleyFire Captain\$6,909\$3,983\$10,8927/1/2016unknownCity of Angels CampFire Captain\$6,845\$3,068\$9,9127/1/2016unknownCity of SonoraFire Captain\$5,249\$4,046\$9,2951/14/2017unknown	unknow	unknown	7/1/2016	\$ 8,860		\$ 5,058	ire Captain		6
City of Stockton City of Grass Valley City of Angels Camp City of Comp City of Comp City of Angels Camp Ci	unknow	unknown	1/14/2017	\$ 9,295	. 1	\$ 5,249	ire Captain		5 (
Comparator AgencyClassification TitleTop Monthly SalaryBenefits SalaryMonthly PackageBenefits CompMonthly Effective DateNext Salary IncreaseCity of StocktonFire Captain\$8,516\$3,954\$12,4707/1/20167/1/2017City of ModestoFire Captain\$8,555\$3,340\$11,8957/12/2016unknownCity of Grass ValleyFire Captain\$6,909\$3,983\$10,8927/1/2016unknown	unknow	_	7/1/2016	\$ 9,912	\$ 3,068	\$ 6,845	ire Captain¹	Camp	4 (
Comparator AgencyClassification TitleTop Monthly SalaryBenefits SalaryMonthly PackageBenefits CompMonthly EffectiveNext Salary IncreaseCity of StocktonFire Captain\$8,516\$3,954\$12,4707/1/20167/1/2017City of ModestoFire Captain\$8,555\$3,340\$11,8957/12/2016unknown	unknow	-	7/1/2016	\$ 10,892	\$ 3,983	\$ 6,909	ire Captain		ω (
City of Stockton Comparator Agency Classification Title Salary Next Salary Increase Comp Date 7/1/2016 7/1/2017	unknow	unknown	7/12/2016	\$ 11,895	\$ 3,340	\$ 8,555	ire Captain		
Comparator Agency Classification Title Top Monthly Benefits Total Salary Next Salary Comparator Agency Classification Title Salary Package Comp Date Increase	2.00%	7/1/2017		\$ 12,470	\$3,954	\$8,516	ire Captain		
Comparator Agency Classification Title Top Monthly Benefits Monthly Effective Next Salary Comparator Agency Classification Title Colory Body Body Body Body Body Body Body Bod	increas		Date	Comp	rackage	Salai y			
Total Salary	Percenta		Effective	Monthly	Package	Salary	Classification Title	Comparator Agency	Rank
	Next		Salary	Total					

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
		Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 6,896	\$ 10,408
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-31.4%	-12.0%
Median of Comparators	\$ 6,877	\$ 10,402
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-31.0%	-11.9%
Number of Matches	6	6

¹⁻ Position is vacant; job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefft Detail

Benefit	А	llov	Le	av	es			In	sur	an	ce							Re	etire	eme	ent				-	Benchn Ag	
Benefit Package Total	Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Top Monthly Salary	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
\$ 4,046				\$ 363	\$ 424			\$ 48	\$ 23	\$19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 325	\$ 123					\$ 685	3%@50	\$ 5,249	Fire Captain	City of Sonora
\$ 3,068				\$ 342	\$ 395			\$ 49	\$5	\$ 31	\$ 130	\$ 1,600					\$ 424	\$ 92						2%@55	\$ 6,845	Fire Captain	City of Angels Camp
\$ 2,922				\$ 285	\$ 507				\$ 15	\$6			\$ 1,458					\$ 129					\$ 522	2%@50	\$ 5,495	Fire Captain	City of Auburn
2 \$0												,														N/C	City of Dos Palos
0 \$0																										N/C	City of Escalon
0 \$3,983				\$ 372	\$ 531			\$37	\$11				\$ 1,972				\$ 428	\$ 162					\$ 470	3%@55	\$ 6,909	Fire Captain	City of Grass Valley
3 \$ 3,802				\$ 282	\$ 292				\$5	\$ 22	\$ 154	\$ 2,051					\$ 314						\$ 683	3%@50	\$ 5,058	Fire Captain	City of Jackson
2 \$0																										N/C	City of Livingston
\$ 3,340				> 543	2000	200			2 \$	<u>}</u>			\$ 1,325	À									\$ 582	3%@55	\$ 8,555	Fire Captain	City of Modesto
30																										N/C	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
0 \$3,954				024.6	\$ 476	\$ 663		\$ 49°	200	٥			0C+,T ¢	¢ 1 406				\$ 200	000				\$ 1,111	3%@50	\$8,516	Fire Captain	City of Stockton
\$ 0																										N/C	County of Tuolumne

12	11	10	9	œ	7	တ	CI	4	З	2		Rank
City of Angels Camp ¹	County of Tuolumne	City of Auburn	City of Dos Palos	City of Escalon	City of Livingston	City of Jackson ⁵	City of Newman ³	City of Sonora 5	City of Grass Valley	City of Stockton ⁴	City of Modesto ²	Comparator Agency
N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Fire Chief	Fire Division Chief	Fire Chief	Fire Chief	Fire Battalion Chief/Fire Chief	Fire Division Chief/Fire Chief	Classification Title
						\$ 6,533	\$ 9,108	\$ 9,347	\$ 11,805	\$ 13,249	\$ 13,722	Top Monthly Salary
						\$ 4,231	\$ 4,577	\$ 5,715	\$ 5,793	\$ 4,972	\$ 5,507	Benefits Package
						\$ 10,764	\$ 13,685	\$ 15,062	\$ 17,598	\$ 18,221	\$ 19,229	Total Monthly Comp
						7/1/2016	7/1/2016	1/14/2017	2/9/2016	7/1/2016	12/27/2016	Salary Effective Date
						unknown	7/1/2017	unknown	unknown	7/1/2017	unknown	Next Salary Increase
						unknown	4.75%	unknown	unknown	7.90%	unknown	Next Percentage Increase

ť		
IJ1	O I	Number of Matches
-16.8%	-26.3%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$ 17,598	\$ 11,805	Median of Comparators
-5.6%	-16.4%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$ 15,899	\$ 10,883	Average of Comparators
Total Monthly	Top Monthly	Summary Results

- City of Angels Camp: The City currently has a part-time Fire Chief.
 City of Modesto: This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.
 City of Newman: The Fire Division Chief is a shared function/joint funded 60/40 with VVSFPD.
 City of Stockton: This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.
 Base salaries include certification and longevity pay differentials. Most agencies do not provide these differentials providing a better TC comparison.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

ΑII	lov	Le	eav	es	•			In	sur	and	e																Benchm Ag	
Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	סנוופו אפני	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Year	Single Highest	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Formula Cost	Enhanced	Classic	Salary	Top Monthly	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
		\$ 431	\$ 539	9 000	5 Jec -			\$85	\$ 47	\$19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 580		\$ 220			\$ 1,220	¢ 4 330	3%@50	\$ 3,347	e 0 3/7	Fire Chief	City of Sonora
		7600		50 50																							N/C	City of Allgeis
																											N/C	City of Auburn
																											N/C	Palos
												State of the State															N/C	City of Escalon
		000'T ¢	¢ 1 600	\$ 499				\$48	\$ 11	2		2	4 4)040	\$1.818			\$657		\$ 277				\$ 803	3%@55		\$ 11,805	Fire Chief	Valley
			1	\$ 364	\$ 377				Ç	\$22	7 22	\$ 154	\$ 2.051				\$ 405	À					\$ 853	3%@50	2000	\$ 6,533	Fire Chief	City of Jackson
																											N/C	Livingston
	\$ 450	\$ 450	\$ 528	\$871	2/9/	202		4 00	\$ 60	\$18				\$ 1,375	\$ 274	\$ 206							\$ 933	3%@33	3% @EE	\$ 13,722	Fire Division Chief/Fire Chief	Orly of Middlesia
	7.400	\$ 450	\$ 350	\$ 385	TO/ ¢	¢ 701						\$ 125	\$ 1,200			\$ 182		\$ 565					\$ 619	0/0800	3%@55	\$ 9,108	Fire Division Chief	
				\$ 662	, , ,	\$ 688			\$ 77	\$ 8				\$ 1,496					\$ 311	8			\$ 1,729	0,000	3%@50	\$ 13,249	Fire Battalion Chief/Fire Chief	City of moderate City of recommendate City
																											N/C	l uolumne

Page 11b of 17

						N/C ¹	City of Angels Camp	12
						N/C	County of Tuolumne	13
						N/C	City of Newman	10
						N/C	City of Dos Palos	9
						N/C	City of Livingston	8
						N/C	City of Escalon	7
unknown	unknown	\$ 8,087 1/1/2017	\$8,087	\$ 2,853	\$ 5,234	Firefighter/Engineer	City of Auburn	6
unknown	unknown	\$8,202 7/1/2016	\$ 8,202	\$ 3,646	\$ 4,556	Fire Engineer ²	City of Jackson	5
unknown	unknown	\$8,621 1/14/2017	\$ 8,621	\$3,862	\$ 4,759	Fire Engineer	City of Sonora	4
unknown	unknown	\$ 9,394 7/1/2016	\$ 9,394	\$3,718	\$ 5,676	Fire Engineer	City of Grass Valley	3
unknown	unknown	\$ 10,439 7/12/2016	\$ 10,439	\$ 3,062	\$ 7,377	Fire Engineer	City of Modesto	2
1.40%	7/1/2017	\$ 11,159 7/1/2016	\$ 11,159	\$ 3,661	\$ 7,498	Firefighter Engineer	City of Stockton	1
Next Percentage Increase	Next Salary Increase	Salary Effective Date	Total Monthly Comp	Benefits Package	Top Monthly Salary	Classification Title	Comparator Agency	Rank
								Fire Engineer

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total
	1 op monuny	Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 6,068	\$ 9,456
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-27.5%	-9.7%
Median of Comparators	\$ 5,676	\$ 9,394
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-19.3%	-9.0%
Number of Matches	5	и

¹⁻ The City currently has a part-time Fire Engineer.2- This position works 72 hour weeks.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

	ΑI	lov	Le	ave	es			In	sur	and	се							Re	etir	eme	ent						Benchm Ag	
	Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Formula Cost	Enhanced	Classic	Top Monthly	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
				\$ 329	\$ 384			\$ 43	\$ 23	\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 295	\$112					\$ 621		3%@50	\$ 4,759	Fire Engineer	City of Sonora
	320															The second second second											N/C	City of Angels Camp
				\$ 272	\$ 483				\$ 15	\$6			\$ 1,458					\$ 123					\$ 497		2%@50	\$ 5,234	Firefighter/Engi neer	City of Auburn
, 0																											N/C	City of Dos Palos
* 0																											N/C	City of Escalon
0 \$3718	\$ 92			\$ 306	\$43/			\$ 30	\$ 1.1	2			\$ 1,9/2				2000	¢ 252	£ 133				0000	\$ 206	3%@55	\$ 5,676	Fire Engineer	City of Grass Valley
s \$ 3.646				\$ 254	\$ 75.7	6 363			Ų	27.5	\$ 154	150,2 \$	2				202 ¢	6 787					, (1)	¢ 615	3%@50	\$ 4,556	Fire Engineer	City of Jackson
3 \$0																											N/C	City of Livingston
0 \$ 3,062				7,100	\$ 468	\$ 766			1	\$ 7			C2C'T C	¢ 1 275										\$ 502	3%@55	\$ 7,377	Fire Engineer	City of Modesto
2 \$0																											N/C	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
0 \$3,661					\$ 375	\$ 584			\$ 43	\$8			4 17 10 0	\$ 1,496					\$ 176					\$ 978	3%@50	\$7,498	Firefighter Engineer	City of Stockton
\$0																											N/C	County of Tuolumne

Page 12b of 17

Police Office	icer							
		g Miller (1997) and the Albert Company of the Compa			Total	Salarv	The second secon	Nov+
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Top Monthly	Benefits	Monthly	Effective	Next Salary	Percentage
The same of the sa	The second secon		Salary	Package	Comp	Date	Increase	Increase
1	City of Stockton	Police Officer	\$ 7,230	\$ 3,355	\$ 10,585 7/1/2016	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
2	County of Tuolumne	Deputy Sheriff	\$ 5,339	\$ 4,043	\$ 9,382	\$ 9,382 12/25/2016	1/1/2018	3.00%
ω	City of Modesto	Police Officer	\$ 6,765	\$ 2,428	\$ 9,193	\$ 9,193 6/28/2016	7/27/2017	2.50%
4	City of Sonora	Police Officer	\$ 5,321	\$ 3,825	\$ 9,147	\$9,147 1/14/2017	unknown	unknown
5	City of Angels Camp	Police Officer ¹	\$ 5,521	\$ 3,608	\$ 9,128	\$ 9,128 7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
6	City of Grass Valley	Police Officer II	\$ 5,353	\$ 3,626	\$ 8,979	\$8,979 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
7	City of Jackson	Police Officer	\$ 4,818	\$ 3,728	\$ 8,546	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
∞	City of Auburn	Police Officer	\$ 5,653	\$ 2,765	\$ 8,418	2/1/2017	unknown	unknown
9	City of Newman	Police Officer	\$ 5,008	\$ 2,615	\$ 7,623	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	4.00%
10	City of Livingston	Police Officer ²	\$ 4,552	\$ 3,055	\$ 7,607	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
11	City of Escalon	Police Officer	\$ 5,095	\$ 2,043	\$ 7,138	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
12	City of Dos Palos	Police Officer	\$ 3,931	\$ 2,708	\$ 6,639	\$ 6,639 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.00%

11	11	Number of Matches
6.6%	-0.3%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$8,546	\$ 5,339	Median of Comparators
7.3%	-1.2%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$8,476	\$ 5,388	Average of Comparators
Total Monthly	Top Monthly	Summary Results

- 1- Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.2- The City also has a police officer class requiring a degree salary: \$4783

ΑI	lov	Le	ave	es			In	sur	and	e							Re	tire	eme	nt					Benchr Aç	
Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Top Monthly Salary	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
			\$ 266	\$ 307			\$ 48		\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 330	\$ 125					\$ 694	3%@50	\$ 5,321	Police Officer	City of Sonora
			\$ 276	\$ 334			\$ 39	\$5	\$ 31	\$ 130	\$ 1,600					\$ 342	\$ 130					\$ 720	3%@50	\$ 5,521	Police Officer	City of Angels Camp
			\$ 283	\$ 370				\$15	\$6			\$ 1,423					\$ 133					\$ 537	2%@50	\$ 5,653	Police Officer	City of Auburn
			\$ 242	\$ 212					\$ 29	\$ 155	\$ 1,178					\$ 244						\$ 513	3%@50	\$ 3,931	Police Officer	City of Dos Palos
			\$ 235	\$ 294				\$8	\$ 30	\$ 144	\$ 1,017					\$316							2%@55	\$ 5,095	Police Officer	City of Escalon
			\$417	\$ 309			\$ 28	\$11				\$ 1,705				\$ 332	\$ 126					\$ 699	3%@50	\$ 5,353	Police Officer II	City of Grass Valley
			\$ 269	\$7/8	220			\$ 5	\$ 22	\$ 154	\$ 2,051	9.0				\$ 299					7	\$ 650	3%@50	\$ 4,818	Police Officer	City of Jackson
			\$ 245	\$ 350	\$ 250		\$ 25				\$ 1,540						/OT ¢	207			\$ 182	\$ 594	3%@50	\$ 4,552	Police Officer	City of Livingston
	200		\$ 286	\$ 200	\$ 200		\$ 30	7 \$	2			097'T ¢	2.20									\$ 460	3%@55	\$ 6,765	Police Officer	City of Modesto
			077 ¢	6 7 7 0	\$ 300					\$ 125	\$ 175	\$ 1100				C7C ¢	3					\$ 354	3%@55	\$ 5,208	Police Officer	City of Newman
		†	200 ¢	\$ 262	\$ 375			0.0	\$ \$, ±, ±, 0	\$ 1 /196				4 1,0	\$ 170				\$ 944	3%@50	\$ 7,230	Police Officer	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
			4 101	\$ 164	\$ 308			0.10	\$10			Ó 1,000	\$ 1 869		\$ 374	4 00 1	¢ 221				\$ 481	\$ 507	05@%7	\$ 5,339	Deputy Sheriff	County of Tuolumne

Page 13b of 17

12 City of Dos Palos	LI City of Escalon	TO City of Livingston	10 City of Newman	o City of Augurn	city of Middesto	7 City of Modest	6 City of Indian	CHI ALCOHOL	4 City of Grass Valley	3 City of Angels Camp	county of Luciumne	T CITY OF STOCKTON	1 City of Charles		Rank Compa		Police Sergeant
							e de la companya del la companya de	and the second s					to the first terms of the company of	0	Comparator Agency		the result and the same of the
N/C	Police Sergeant	Police Sergeant ²	Police Sergeant	Police Sergeant	Police Corporal/Police Sergeant	Police Sergeant	Police Sergeant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Police Sergeant	Police Sergeant ¹	Sheriff's Sergeant	Police Sergeant			Classification Title		
	\$ 6,220	\$ 5,548	\$ 6,413	\$ 6,547	\$ 7,465	\$ 6,083	\$ 6,308	0.00	\$6.640	\$ 7,046	\$ 6,716	\$8,548		Salary	Top Monthly		
	\$ 2,230	\$ 3,384	\$ 3,026	\$ 3,005	\$ 2,658	\$ 4,120	\$ 4,154	, t, co	\$ 4 D&S	\$ 4,117	\$ 4,601	\$ 3,692		Package	Benefits	The section will be	
	\$ 8,450	\$ 8,932	\$ 9,439	\$ 9,552	\$ 10,124	\$ 10,203	\$ 10,462	C21,0T &	\$ 10 725	\$ 11,163	\$ 11,317	\$ 12,240	Comp	Monthly		Total	
	7/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2016	\$ 10,124 1/10/2017	\$ 10,203 7/1/2016	\$ 10,462 1/14/2017 unknown	orn2/1// C2/'nr c	7/1/2016	7/1/2016	\$ 11,317 12/25/2016	\$12,240 7/1/2016	Date	Effective	Jaiai y	Salary	
	unknown	7/1/2017	7/1/2017	unknown	6/27/2017	unknown	unknown	//1/201/	7/1/2017	unknown	1/1/2018	unknown			Next Salary	The second secon	
	unknown	3.00%	4.00%	unknown	2.50%	unknown	unknown	3.00%	3 200	unknown	3.00%	unknown	Increase	Percentage	Next		

10	10	ייימוווטכו טו ואומנכווכט
		Number of Matches
2.9%	-4.5%	% City of Solidia Above/Below
\$ 10,163	\$6,594	Median of Comparators
2.4%	-6.6%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$ 10,214	\$6,723	Average of Comparators
Monthly		
Total	Top Monthly	Summary Results

- 1- Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.
- 2- The City also has a sergeant class requiring a degree salary: \$5828
- 3- This match is a span of scope bracket to represent the level of responsibility of the City's class. The salary displayed is an average of the matches.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

ΑI	lov	Le	av	es			ln	sur	ran	ce							Re	etire	eme	nt					Benchi A	
Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Enhanced Formula Cost	Classic	Top Monthly Salary	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
			\$ 315	\$ 364			\$ 57		\$19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 391	\$ 148					\$ 823	3%@50	\$ 6,308	Police Sergeant	City of Sonora
1000	30000		\$ 352	\$ 427			\$ 50	\$5	\$ 31	\$ 130	\$ 1,600					\$ 437	\$ 166					\$ 920	3%@50	\$ 7,046	Police Sergeant Police Sergeant Police Sergeant	City of Angels Camp
			\$ 340	\$ 428				\$15	\$6			\$ 1,441								\$ 134	\$ 157	\$ 622	2%@50	\$ 6,547	Police Sergeant	City of Auburn
																									N/C	City of Dos Palos
			\$ 287	\$ 359				\$8	\$ 30	\$ 144	\$ 1,017					\$ 386							2%@55	\$ 6,220	Police Sergeant	City of Escalon
			\$ 517	\$ 383			\$ 35	\$11				\$ 1,705				\$ 412	\$ 156					\$ 867	3%@50	\$ 6,640	Police Sergeant Police Sergeant Police Sergeant Police Sergeant	City of Grass Valley
			\$ 339	\$ 351				\$5	\$ 22	\$ 154	\$ 2,051					\$ 377						\$ 821	3%@50	\$ 6,083	Police Sergeant	City of Jackson
			\$ 299	\$ 427			\$ 29	\$ 12			\$ 1,540						\$ 130				\$ 222	\$ 724	3%@50	\$ 5,548	Police Sergeant	City of Livingston
			\$ 316	\$ 431			\$ 33	\$ 18				\$ 1,260			\$ 93							\$ 508	3%@55	\$ 7,465	z-Police Corporal/Police Sergeant	City of Modesto
			\$ 271	\$ 370	4 2 2 2					\$ 125	\$ 1,150					\$ 398						\$ 436	3%@55	\$ 6,413	z-Police Corporal/Police Police Sergeant Police Sergeant Sergeant	City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton
			\$42/	\$ 444				\$8				\$ 1,496	2				\$ ZUI					\$ 1,116	3%@50	\$ 8,548	Police Sergeant	City of Stockton
			\$ 207	\$ 387	£ 267			\$ 10	3			\$ 1,809	4 2000		\$ 470	\$ 416					\$ 604	\$ 638	2%@50	\$ 6,716	Sheriff's Sergeant	County of Tuolumne

Page 14b of 17

		mana and mana and a second of the second of	A)				A section of the section of the section of	
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Top Monthly	Benefits	וטנפו	Sdidiy	Next Salary	Next
		Classification Title	Salary	Package	Monthly	Effective		Percentage
					Comp	Date	HICHEASE	Increase
-	Gity of Sonora	Public Works Specialist	\$ 4,039	\$ 3,208	\$ 7,247	\$7,247 1/14/2017	unknown	unknown
2	City of Grass Valley	Maintenance Worker II	\$ 3.824		\$ 6 577	\$ 6 577 10/1/2016	10/1/2017	2000
u	City of Auburn	No internal and a second secon	7 2,0,0	20,72	,,,,,,	<u></u>	/TO7/T/OT	2.00%
، ،	City of Adoditi	IVIAITILE TAILCE VVOIKET II	\$ 4,169	\$ 2,325	\$ 6,494	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.00%
4	City of Dos Palos	Public Works Maintenance Person II	\$ 3,828	\$ 2,549	\$ 6,377	\$ 6,377 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.00%
5	County of Tuolumne	Groundskeeper II/Senior Road Worker ²	\$3,710	\$ 2,655	\$ 6,365	\$ 6,365 2/5/2017	7/1/2018	2.00%
6	City of Modesto	Maintenance Worker II	\$ 4,423	\$ 1.634	\$ 6.057	\$6.057 2/23/2016	inknown	awoadau
7	City of Stockton	Public Maintenance Worker II/Facilities	\$ / DDE	¢ 1 000	\$ 6 017	7/1/2016	7/2/202	4
		23	(100,4)	755'T ¢	/TO'0 ¢	9102/1// /110,8 ¢	//1/201/	10.00%
		Maintenance Worker II ^{2,3}						
~	City of Livingston	Maintenance Worker II	\$ 3,506	\$ 2,334	\$ 5,840	\$5,840 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.75%
9	City of Angels Camp	Equipment Operator I ¹	\$ 3,120	\$ 2,610	\$ 5,730	\$ 5,730 7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
10	City of Jackson	Maintenance Worker I	\$ 2,523	\$ 2.933	\$ 5,456	\$ 5,456 7/1/2016	inknown	inknown.
11	City of Newman	Maintenance Worker II	\$ 3 5 28	\$ 1 700	\$ 5 750	\$ 5 750 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2 500
12	City of Escalon	N/C		7 -/:	0000	17/2/2010	1107/1//	2.50%

10	10	Number of Matches
16.7%	6.7%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$ 6,037	\$3,767	Median of Comparators
17.0%	9.2%	% City of Sonora Above/Below
\$ 6,016	\$ 3,666	Average or comparators
Monthly		
Total	Top Monthly	Summary Results

- 1- Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.
- 2- This match is a functional bracket to represent the duties of the City's class, which are performed by more than one class at the comparator agency. The salary displayed is the higher of the matches.
- 3- Public Works Maintenance Worker II is receiving market adjustment of 10%; Facility Maintenance Worker II increase is unknown.

AI	llo	Le	ave	s			In	sur	and	ce							Re	tir	eme	ent							Benchn Ag	
Uniform	Auto	Admin Leave	Holidays	Vacation	Other Ins.	STD/SDI	LTD	Life	Vision	Dental	Health	Cafeteria	Other Ret.	Compensation	Deferred	Social Security	Single Highest	Special Comp	Classic EPMC as	Contrib	ER Paid Member	Formula Cost	Enhanced	Classic	Salary	Top Monthly	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Agency
			\$ 233	\$ 233			\$ 37	\$ 21	\$ 19	\$71	\$ 1,964					\$ 250	\$ 55					\$ 52.0°	e 30E	2.7%@55	\$ 4,039		Public Works Specialist	City of Sonora
202			\$ 156	\$ 180			\$ 22	\$5	\$ 31	\$ 130	\$ 1,600					\$ 193	\$ 42					4 101	¢ 251	2.7%@55	\$ 3,120		Equipment Operator l	City of Angels Camp
			\$ 216	\$ 273				\$6	\$6			\$ 1,769					\$ 56							2%@55	\$ 4,169		Maintenance Worker II	City of Auburn
			\$ 236	\$ 206					\$ 29	\$ 255	\$ 1,178					\$ 237							\$ 375	3%@60	\$ 3,828		Public Works Maintenance	City of Dos Palos
																											N/C	City of Escalon
			\$ 206	177 €	£ 221		\$20	\$ 20	611			010,1 ¢	¢ 1 010			107 ¢	200	¢ 5.7					\$ 189	2.5%@55	7	¢ 3 874	Maintenance Worker II	City of Grass Valley
			\$ 141	0 1 1 0	\$ 146			,	47.5	\$ 22	\$ 154	¢ 2 051			\$ 100	OCT C	¢ 156	434					\$ 125	2.5%@55	4 2,020	¢ 7 573	Maintenance Worker l	City of Jackson
			\$ 100	\$ 190	\$ 270			\$ 19	\$ 24		4,0,0	¢ 1 540					:	\$ 47			\$ 245			2%@35		\$ 3.506	Maintenance Worker II	City of Livingston
	\$ 49		4 10	\$ 187	\$ 255			\$ 19	\$3				\$ 1,260		\$ 44								\$ -135	റമെത്യ.7	28/960	\$ 4,423	Maintenance Worker II	City of Modesto City of Newman
				\$ 149	\$ 204						\$ 125	\$ 1,150					\$ 219							C/00000	2%@ss	\$ 3,528	Maintenance Worker II	City of Newman
				\$ 201	\$ 209			\$ 23	\$8				\$ 1,496					\$ 54							2%@55	\$ 4,025	Worker II/Facilities Maintenance Worker II	City of Stockton
				\$ 186	\$ 214				\$ 10				\$ 1,869				\$ 230				\$ 200	\$ 260	\$ -113		2%@60	\$3,710	II/Senior Road Worker	County of Tuolumne

FINAL DRAFT

City of Sonora - Market Compensation Data December 2017

Public W	Public Works Supervisor							
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Top Monthly Benefits Salary Package	Benefits Package	Total Monthly Comp	Salary Effective Date	Next Salary Increase	Next Percentage Increase
1	City of Angels Camp	Public Works Foreman ¹	\$ 7,214	\$ 3,719	\$ 10,933	7/1/2016	unknown	unknown
2	City of Grass Valley	Public Works Parks Superintendent	\$ 7,044	\$ 3,776	\$ 10,820	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	4.00%
m	City of Stockton	Public Works Supervisor/Senior Facilities	\$ 7,403	\$ 2,430	\$ 9,833	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	unknown
		Maintenance Supervisor ²						
4	City of Modesto	Operations Supervisor	\$ 6,696	\$ 2,002	\$ 8,698	2/23/2016	unknown	unknown
2	County of Tuolumne	Facilities Maintenance Supervisor/Road	\$ 4,832	\$ 3,641	\$ 8,473	6/26/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
		Services Supervisor ²						
9	City of Auburn	Public Works Supervisor	\$ 5,334	\$ 2,478	\$ 7,812	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.00%
7	City of Sonora	Public Works Supervisor	\$ 4,352	\$ 3,296	\$ 7,648	\$ 7,648 1/14/2017	unknown	unknown
8	City of Livingston	Public Works Supervisor	\$ 4,737	\$ 2,604	\$ 7,341	7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.50%
6	City of Newman	N/C						
10	City of Escalon	N/C						
11	City of Dos Palos	N/C						
12	City of Jackson	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 6,180	\$ 9,130
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-42.0%	-19.4%
Median of Comparators % City of Sonora Above/Below	\$ 6,696 -53.9%	\$ 8,698
Number of Matches	7	7

N/C Non Comparator

 $1^{\hspace{-0.05cm}\text{-}\hspace{-0.05cm}}$ Job descriptions are not available; HR matched JDs based on Sonora JD.

²⁻ This match is a functional bracket to represent the duties of the City's class, which are performed by more than one class at the comparator agency.

The salary displayed is the higher of the matches.

Sonora Total Comp - December 2017 - Copy w Footnotes Benefit Detail

FINAL DRAFT

County of Tuolumne	Facilities Maint Supv/Road Svcs t Supv	\$ 4,832	2%@60	\$ -147	\$ 338			\$ 300		\$ 338		\$ 1,869				\$ 19		\$ 29		\$ 511	\$ 204	\$ 186			
City of Stockton	Public Wks Supv/Sr Facilities Maint	\$ 7,403	2%@55				\$ 100	201.5				\$ 1,496				\$8	\$ 43			\$ 384	\$ 399				
City of Modesto City of Newman City of Stockton	N/C																								
City of Modesto	Operations Supervisor	\$ 6,696	2%@60	\$ -204						\$ 100		\$ 1,260				\$ 18	\$ 29			\$ 386	\$ 283	\$ 129			
City of Livingston	Public Works Supervisor	\$ 4,737	2%@55		\$ 332	8.1		\$ 64					\$ 1.540			\$ 24	\$ 25			\$ 364	\$ 255	\$ 146			Control of the second s
City of Jackson	N/C																		38 8 37						
City of Grass Valley	Public Works Parks Superintendent	\$ 7,044	2.5%@55	\$ 349				\$ 95	\$ 437			\$ 1.818	2017			\$11	\$37			\$ 406	\$ 379	¢ 244	1170		
City of Escalon	N/C																								
City of Dos Palos	N/C																								
City of Auburn	Public Works Supervisor	\$ 5,334	2%@55		7			\$ 72				¢ 1 760	5 T,/03		9 0	2 4	2			\$ 349	5777	1770			
City of Angels Camp	Public Works Foreman	\$ 7,214	2 7%@55	\$ 581				\$ 97	\$ 447					\$ 1,600	\$ 130	100	451	4		\$115	0 14TO	TOC 6			Supplement of the supplement o
City of Sonora	Public Works Supervisor	\$ 4,352	77%,@55	\$ 350				\$ 59	\$ 270					\$ 1,964	- 6	2 G	LZ \$	2		4 250	\$ 431	- 62			
Agency	Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match	Top Monthly	Salary	Enhanced Formula Cost	ER Paid Member	Contrib	Special Comp	Single Highest	Social Security	Deferred	Compensation	Other Ret.	Cafeteria	Health	Dental	Vision	Life	בות לכתו	106/016	Other Ins.	Vacation	Holidays	Admin Leave	Auto	Uniform
•	Benchmi			•			iren								əsı	161	nsu	I			sə/	99	г	vol	A

Supervisin	Supervising Mechanic							
			Top Monthly	Bonofite .	Total	Salary	New Colony	Next
Rank	Comparator Agency	Classification Title	Salary	Dackaga	Monthly	Effective	learnage	Percentage
			Salai y	Fackage	Comp	Date	iliciedse	Increase
1	County of Tuolumne	Fleet Manager	\$ 5,501	\$ 3,883	\$ 9,384	\$ 9,384 6/26/2016	7/1/2017	3.00%
2	City of Stockton	Supervising Mechanic	\$ 6,859	\$ 2,362	\$ 9,221	\$ 9,221 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	0.30%
ယ	City of Sonora	Supervising Mechanic	\$ 4,352	\$ 3,296	\$ 7,648	Acres and	unknown	unknown
4	City of Modesto	Equipment Mechanic Crewleader	\$ 5,661	\$ 1,738	\$ 7,399	\$ 7,399 2/23/2016	unknown	unknown
5	City of Auburn	Lead Mechanic	\$ 4,952	\$ 2,428	\$ 7,380	\$ 7,380 7/1/2016	7/1/2017	2.00%
6	City of Angels Camp	N/C						
7	City of Newman	N/C						
8	City of Jackson	N/C						
9	City of Livingston	N/C						
10	City of Grass Valley	N/C						
11	City of Escalon	N/C						
12	City of Dos Palos	N/C						

Summary Results	Top Monthly	Total Monthly
Average of Comparators	\$ 5,743	\$ 8,346
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-32.0%	-9.1%
Median of Comparators	\$ 5,581	\$8,310
% City of Sonora Above/Below	-28.2%	-8.7%
Number of Matches	4	4

Benchmark/ Comparator Agency Match

N/C

Equipment Mechanic Crewleader

N/C

Supervising Mechanic

Fleet Manager

\$ 6,859 2%@55

2%@60

\$ -168 \$ 385 City of Newman

City of Stockton

County of Tuolumne

\$ 5,661 2%@60

\$-173

Agency

Retirement

Classic
ER Paid Member
Contrib
Classic ER Poid Member
Contrib
Classic EPMC as
Special Comp
Single Highest
Social Security

Allov Leaves

Jniform

Other Ins.
Vacation
Holidays
Admin Leave

\$ 324 \$ 257

\$ 327 \$ 240

\$ 356 \$ 369

\$ 582 \$ 233 \$ 212 \$3 \$25

\$ 40

\$ 19 \$ 32

\$ 49

Insurance

Vision Life LTD STD/SDI

Deferred
Compensation
Other Ret.
Cafeteria
Health
Dental

\$1,260

\$ 1,496

\$ 1,869

\$ 341 \$ 385

\$ 57

\$ 93

Page 17b of 17					
age 17b of 17	ľ	٦	J	١	
ge 17b of 17	1	3)		
e 17b of 17	U	С)		
17b of 17	1	1)		
7b of 17			,		
b of 17			J	ı	
of 17	1	C	,	۰	
17	1	2	•		
-1			,		
			J	ı	

Appendix III

Proposed Salary Range Schedule

Appendix III City of Newman Monthly Salary Schedule May 2008

Salary		Mon	thly Salary Ran	ge	
Range #	Step A	Step B	Step C	Step D	Step E
1 1	2035	2137	2244	2356	2474
2	2086	2191	2300	2415	2536
3	2137	2244	2356	2474	2598 2663
4	2191	2300	2415	2536	
5	2244	2356	2474	2598	2728
6	2300	2415	2536	2663	2796 2864
7	2356	2474	2598 2663	2728 2796	2936
8	2415	2536			
9	2474	2598	2728	2864 2936	3007 3082
10	2536	2663	2796 2864	3007	3158
11	2598 2663	2728 2796	2936	3082	3237
12			. 1	!	3316
13	2728	2864	3007 3082	3158 3237	3398
14	2796 2864	2936 3007	3158	3316	3481
15	2936	3082	3237	3398	3568
		2150	3316	3481	3655
17	3007 3082	3158 3237	3398	3568	3747
19	3158	3316	3481	3655	3838
20	3237	3398	3568	3747	3934
21	3316	3481	3655	3838	4030
22	3398	3568	3747	3934	4131
23	3481	3655	3838	4030	4232
24	3568	3747	3934	4131	4337
25	3655	3838	4030	4232	4443
26	3747	3934	4131	4337	4554
27	3838	4030	4232	4443 4554	4665 4782
28	3934	4131	4337		
29	4030	4232	4443	4665	4899 5021
30	4131	4337	4554 4665	4782 4899	5143
31	4232 4337	4443 4554	4782	5021	5272
32	<u> </u>		4899	5143	5401
33	4443 4554	4665 4782	5021	5272	5536
34 35	4665	4899	5143	5401	5671
36	4782	5021	5272	5536	5812
37	4899	5143	5401	5671	5954
38	5021	5272	5536	5812	6103
39	5143	5401	5671	5954	6252
40	5272	5536	5812	6103	6408
41	5401	5671	5954	6252	6564
42	5536	5812	6103	6408	6729
43	5671	5954	6252	6564 6729	6893 7065
44	5812	6103	6408		
45	5954	6252	6564	6893	7237 7418
46	6103	6408	6729 6893	7065 7237	7599
47	6252 6408	6564 6729	7065	7418	7789
48	∃ `		7237	7599	7979
49	6564 6729	6893 7065	7418	7789	8179
50 51	6893	7003	7599	7979	8378
52	7065	7418	7789	8179	8588
53	7237	7599	7979	8378	8797
53	7418	7789	8179	8588	9017
55	7599	7979	8378	8797	9237
56	7789	8179	8588	9017	9468
57	7979	8378	8797	9237	9699
58	8179	8588	9017	9468	9941
59	8378	8797	9237	9699	10184

Page 1 of 2 12/21/2017 All Ranges

Appendix III City of Newman Monthly Salary Schedule May 2008

Salary		Mo	nthly Salary Ra	nge	
Range #	Step A	Step B	Step C	Step D	Step E
60	8588	9017	9468	9941	10438
61	8797	9237	9699	10184	10693
62	9017	9468	9941	10438	10960
63	9237	9699	10184	10693	11227
64	9468	9941	10438	10960	11508
65	9699	10184	10693	11227	11789
66	9941	10438	10960	11508	12084
67	10184	10693	11227	11789	12378
68	10438	10960	11508	12084	12688
69	10699	11234	11796	12386	13005
70	10967	11515	12091	12695	13330
71	11241	11803	12393	13013	13663
72	11522	12098	12703	13338	14005
73	11810	12400	13020	13671	14355
74	12105	12710	13346	14013	14714
75	12408	13028	13680	14364	15082
76	12718	13354	14022	14723	15459
77	13036	13688	14372	15091	15845
78	13362	14030	14731	15468	16241
79	13696	14381	15100	15855	16647
80	14038	14740	15477	16251	17064
81	14389	15109	15864	16657	17490
82	14749	15486	16261	17074	17927

Appendix IV

Salary Range Placement Recommendations

Class Title	Current	% from Total	Market	Pronocod Calany	Process of			
	Maximum	Comp Monthly	Placement	Range	Maximum	Difference	Rationale	
	Monthly Salary	Median			Monthly Salary			
Finance Assistant I								
Finance Assistant II	4.000			,	\$2,864		Internal alignment: 10% below the Finance Assistant II	1
A defended Assistant II	\$4,039	18.6%	53,287	11	\$3,158	\$0	Market and range placement	Т
Administrative Analyst	\$5,836	15.2%	\$4,949	29	\$4,899	-16.06%	Market and range placement	Т
Administrative Services Director	\$7,608	-14.7%	\$8,726	53	\$8,797	Г	Market and range nigramment	Т
Chief of Police	\$9,377	-1.0%	\$9,471	99	\$9.468	Т	Market and range placement.	7
City Administrator	\$12,264	10.0%	\$11,038	62	\$10,960	Ţ,	Market and range placement (including differential)	Т
Community Development Director	\$2,608	-26.1%	\$9,594	53	\$8 797	Т	Market and ange plateing in	7
Community Development Specialist I	\$3,635	N/A		17	\$3,655	Τ	Manket and range placement.	
Community Development Specialist II	\$4,039	-0.7%	\$4.067	21	\$4.030	T	Madice and milenter to be below the Community Development Specialist II	_1
Equipment Mechanic	\$4,039	0.1%	\$4,035	71	\$4.030	Т	Market and range placement.	
Fire Captain	\$5,249	-11.9%	\$5.874	35	21,030	Т	Market and range placement	_
Fire Chief	\$8.497	-16.8%	\$4 974	3 2	50,012	T	Market and range placement.	
Fire Engineer	¢4.750	/00 0	40,02	5 2	770'66	T	Internal alignment: 5% below the Police Chief.	_
Police Lieutenant	20,170	2.0.0	72,10/	31	55,143	8.07%	Market and range placement.	Т
Police Officer	\$6,519	N/A		47	\$7,599	-10.80%	Internal alignment: 20% below the Police Chief	Т
Administration Annual Control of the	\$5,321	6.6%	\$4,970	30	\$5,021	-5.64%	Market and range placement	_
Administrative Assistant (Police Records Technician)	\$3,975	N/A		11	\$3,158	-20.54%	Internal alignment: anchor to the Einance Active II	_
Police Dispatcher/Records Specialist	\$3,310	N/A		12	\$3,237	Т	Internal alignment: 10% below the Police Dismethal/Besseles Comments	_
Police Dispatcher/ Records Specialist II	\$3,678	3.3%	\$3,557	16	\$3,568	-2.99%	Market and range placement	_
Police Sergeant	\$6,308	2.9%	\$6,125	38	\$6,103	Γ	Market and range placement	-
Public Works Assistant	Proposed			2	\$7.72	Τ	others of all and a door in a second of the	٠,
Public Works Specialist I	\$3,635	A/N		6	\$3,007	786 71-	internal alignment: 10% below the Public Works Specialist I	
Public Works Specialist II	\$4,039	18.2%	\$3,304	13	\$3.316	T	Market Hall anguitherner 10% below the Public Works Specialist II	_,
Public Works Supervisor	\$4,352	-13.7%	\$4.948	28	\$4.787	Т	Indiversity range placement	
Senior Police Dispatcher/Records Specialist	\$4.046	A/N		200	44,024	T	warket and range placement.	_
Special Program Coordinator	\$3.595	A/N		3 5	45,55		Internal alignment: 10% above the Police Dispatcher/Records Specialist II	_
Supervising Mechanic	\$4.353	702.0		7	53,655		Internal alignment: anchor to the Community Development Specialist I	_
	74,352	-8.7%	\$4,731	28	\$4,782	9.88%	Market and range placement.	_
								-

Legend for columns:

Column 1 - Classification Title.

Column 2 - Client's current monthly maximum salaries.

Column 3 - Market placement shows the monthly market values derived from the total compensation survey results.

Column 4 - Salary range number of the consultant's newly proposed salary range schedule.

Column 5 - Monthly maximum salary of the consultant's newly proposed salary ranges.

Column 6 - This percentage expresses the difference between the client's current salaries and the consultant's proposed salaries.

Column 7 - This is the Job Family and displays internal relationship for salary alignment.

Column 8 - The rationale expresses how the consultant arrived at each proposed maximum monthly salary recommendation (i.e., the proposed range placement within the newly proposed salary range schedule).

Appendix V

Additional Benefits

City of Sonora Additional Benefits November 2017

CERTIFICATION PAY

Agency	Education/Certification Pay
	Police Chief:
City of Sonora	Fire Chief:
	Police Chief: N/A
Angels Camp	Fire Chief: N/A
Auburn	Public Safety Director
	N/A
Dos Palos	Police Chief:
	POST Intermediate 2.5%
	POST Advanced 7.5%
	Fire Chief: N/A
Escalon	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Grass Valley	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Jackson	Police Chief:
	POST Intermediate 5%
	POST Advanced 10%
	Fire Chief:
	Fire Officer 5%
<u>. </u>	Chief Officer 10%
Livingston	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Modesto	Police Chief: Non-Responsive
	Fire Chief: Non-Responsive
Newman	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Stockton	Police Chief:
	POST Supervisory – 6%
	POST Management – 5% (for a total of 11%)
	Fire Chief: N/A
Tuolumne County	Sheriff/Undersheriff:
	POST Intermediate 3%
	POST Advanced 7%
	POST Supervisory 2.5%
	POST Management 2.5%
	(All cumulative)
	Fire Chief: N/A

LONGEVITY PAY

Agency	Longevity Pay
City of Sonora	
Angels Camp	Police Chief:
	2.5% @ 10 years of service
	5% @ 15 years of service
	7.5% @ 20 years of service
	10% @ 25 years of service
	Fire Chief: N/A
Auburn	Public Safety Director: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Dos Palos	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Escalon	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Grass Valley	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Jackson	Police Chief and Fire Chief:
	After 15 years' service - 2%
	After 20 years' service - 4%
	After 25 years' service - 6.5%
	After 30 years' service - 9%
Livingston	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Modesto	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Newman	Police Chief: N/A
	Fire Chief: N/A
Stockton	Police Chief and Fire Chief:
	Completion of 12 years' service: .75% of base;
	Completion of 18 years' service: 1.75% (=2.5%)
	Completion of 24 years' service: 2% (=4.5%)
Tuolumne County	Sheriff/Undersheriff:
	10 years of service - 10 ranges
	15 years of service - 15 ranges
	20 years of service - 20 ranges
	25 years of service - 25 ranges
	30 years of service - 30 ranges
	35 years of service - 35 ranges
	Fire Chief: N/A

	,		
			•
			-